

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 for the first quarterly period ended **March 31, 2018**.

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 for the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

Commission file number: 0-27408

**SPAR Group, Inc.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
State of Incorporation

33-0684451  
IRS Employer Identification No.

333 Westchester Avenue, South Building, Suite 204, White Plains, New York 10604  
(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (914) 332-4100

Indicate by check whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days:

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", "smaller reporting company" and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large Accelerated Filer   
Non-Accelerated Filer  (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Accelerated Filer   
Smaller Reporting Company   
Emerging Growth Company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  Yes  No

On May 7, 2018, there were 20,647,704 shares of Common Stock outstanding.

# SPAR Group, Inc.

## Index

<b>PART I:</b>	<b>FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	
Item 1	Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2018 (Unaudited), and December 31, 2017	2
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) and Comprehensive Income (Loss) (Unaudited) for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statement of Equity (Unaudited) for the three months ended March 31, 2018	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017	5
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	6
Item 2	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	29
Item 3	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	33
Item 4	Controls and Procedures	33
<b>PART II:</b>	<b>OTHER INFORMATION</b>	
Item 1	Legal Proceedings	34
Item 1A	Risk Factors	37
Item 2	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	37
Item 3	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	37
Item 4	Mine Safety Disclosures	38
Item 5	Other Information	38
Item 6	Exhibits	38
<b>SIGNATURES</b>		<b>39</b>

**PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements**

**SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries**  
**Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets**  
*(In thousands, except share and per share data)*

	March 31, 2018 <u>(Unaudited)</u>	December 31, 2017
<b>Assets</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8,862	\$ 8,827
Accounts receivable, net	43,051	35,964
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,721	2,031
Total current assets	<u>54,634</u>	<u>46,822</u>
Property and equipment, net	2,819	2,712
Goodwill	3,173	1,836
Intangible assets, net	4,189	1,634
Deferred income taxes	3,063	3,055
Other assets	1,422	1,929
Total assets	<u>\$ 69,300</u>	<u>\$ 57,988</u>
<b>Liabilities and equity</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 7,868	\$ 7,341
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	15,058	13,581
Due to affiliates	4,978	3,026
Customer incentives and deposits	1,427	1,539
Lines of credit and short-term loans	2,414	6,839
Total current liabilities	<u>31,745</u>	<u>32,326</u>
Long-term debt and other liabilities	8,996	107
Total liabilities	<u>40,741</u>	<u>32,433</u>
Commitments and Contingencies – See Note 8		
Equity:		
SPAR Group, Inc. equity		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value: Authorized and available shares– 2,445,598 Issued and outstanding shares– None – March 31, 2018, and December 31, 2017	–	–
Common stock, \$.01 par value: Authorized shares – 47,000,000 Issued shares – 20,680,717 – March 31, 2018, and December 31, 2017	207	207
Treasury stock, at cost 33,013 shares – March 31, 2018, and 104,398 shares – December 31, 2017	(36)	(115)
Additional paid-in capital	16,241	16,271
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(1,690)	(1,690)
Retained earnings	5,101	4,977
Total SPAR Group, Inc. equity	<u>19,823</u>	<u>19,650</u>
Non-controlling interest	8,736	5,905
Total equity	<u>28,559</u>	<u>25,555</u>
Total liabilities and equity	<u>\$ 69,300</u>	<u>\$ 57,988</u>

See accompanying notes.

**SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Loss) and Comprehensive Income (Loss)**  
**(unaudited)**

*(In thousands, except share and per share data)*

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2018</b>	<b>2017</b>
Net revenues	\$ 54,579	\$ 39,886
Cost of revenues	44,849	31,838
Gross profit	9,730	8,048
Selling, general and administrative expense	8,458	7,058
Depreciation and amortization	542	505
Operating income	730	485
Interest expense (income)	199	(46)
Other (income), net	(72)	(62)
Income before income tax expense	603	593
Income tax expense	178	419
Net income	425	174
Net income attributable to non-controlling interest	(301)	(418)
Net income (loss) attributable to SPAR Group, Inc.	\$ 124	\$ (244)
Basic and diluted income (loss) per common share:	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.01)
Weighted average common shares – basic	20,648	20,649
Weighted average common shares – diluted	21,599	20,649
Net income	\$ 425	\$ 174
Other comprehensive (loss) income:		
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(30)	164
Comprehensive income	395	338
Comprehensive income attributable to non-controlling interest	(271)	(516)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to SPAR Group, Inc.	\$ 124	\$ (178)

See accompanying notes.

**SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statement of Equity**  
(unaudited)  
(In thousands)

	<u>Common Stock</u>		<u>Treasury Stock</u>		<u>Additional Paid-In Capital</u>	<u>Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss</u>	<u>Retained Earnings</u>	<u>Non- Controlling Interest</u>	<u>Total Equity</u>
	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Shares</u>	<u>Amount</u>					
Balance at January 1, 2018	20,681	\$ 207	104	\$ (115)	\$ 16,271	\$ (1,690)	\$ 4,977	\$ 5,905	\$ 25,555
Share-based compensation	-	-	-	-	49	-	-	-	49
Exercise of stock options	-	-	(71)	79	(79)	-	-	-	-
Distributions to non- controlling investors	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(463)	(463)
Non-controlling interest related to Resource Plus acquisition	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,023	3,023
Other comprehensive income	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(30)	(30)
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	-	124	301	425
<b>Balance at March 31, 2018</b>	<b>20,681</b>	<b>\$ 207</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>\$ (36)</b>	<b>\$ 16,241</b>	<b>\$ (1,690)</b>	<b>\$ 5,101</b>	<b>\$ 8,736</b>	<b>\$ 28,559</b>

See accompanying notes.

**SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries**  
**Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**  
**(unaudited)**  
*(In thousands)*

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>	
	<b>2018</b>	<b>2017</b>
<b>Operating activities</b>		
Net income	\$ 425	\$ 174
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities		
Depreciation and amortization	542	505
Bad debt expense, net of recoveries	36	25
Share based compensation	49	55
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effect of acquisition:		
Accounts receivable	(7,108)	3,486
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(190)	56
Accounts payable	512	1,569
Accrued expenses, other current liabilities and customer incentives and deposits	5,117	440
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	<u>(617)</u>	<u>6,310</u>
<b>Investing activities</b>		
Purchases of property and equipment and capitalized software	(487)	(284)
Purchase of Resource Plus subsidiary, net of cash acquired	767	-
Net cash provided by (used) in investing activities	<u>280</u>	<u>(284)</u>
<b>Financing activities</b>		
Net (payments) borrowing on lines of credit	1,252	(3,192)
Proceeds from stock options exercised	-	7
Payments on term debt	-	(6)
Payments on capital lease obligations	(18)	-
Distribution to non-controlling investors	(463)	(2,101)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	<u>771</u>	<u>(5,292)</u>
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash	(399)	215
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	35	949
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	8,827	7,324
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	<u>\$ 8,862</u>	<u>\$ 8,273</u>
<b>Supplemental disclosure of cash flows information</b>		
Interest paid	\$ 137	\$ 109
Income taxes paid	\$ 59	\$ 117
<b>Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:</b>		
Increase in non-controlling interest attributable to Resource Plus acquisition	\$ 3,023	\$ -
Resource Plus deferred purchase price	\$ 2,300	\$ -
Debt assumed through the Resource Plus acquisition	\$ 865	\$ -

See accompanying notes.

## 1. Basis of Presentation

The unaudited, interim condensed consolidated financial statements of SPAR Group, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("SGRP"), and its subsidiaries (together with SGRP, collectively, the "Company" or the "SPAR Group"), accompanying this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the first quarter ended March 31, 2018 (this "Quarterly Report"), have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States for complete financial statements. The consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2017, has been prepared from the Company's audited consolidated balance sheet as of such date. In the opinion of management, all normal and recurring adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included in these interim financial statements. However, these interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the annual consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the Company as contained in the SGRP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on April 2, 2018 (the "2017 Annual Report"), and SGRP's Proxy Statement for its 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders as filed with the SEC on April 18, 2018 (the "2018 Proxy Statement"). Particular attention should be given to Items 1 and 1A of the 2017 Annual Report respecting the Company's Business and Risk Factors, respectively, and the following parts of SGRP's 2018 Proxy Statement: (i) *SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT*, (ii) *CORPORATE GOVERNANCE*, (iii) *EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION, DIRECTORS AND OTHER INFORMATION* and (iv) *EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION, EQUITY AWARDS AND OPTIONS*. The Company's results of operations for the interim period are not necessarily indicative of its operating results for the entire year. Except for the changes below, the Company has consistently applied the accounting policies to all periods presented in these condensed consolidated financial statements. The Company adopted ASU 2014-09 with a date of the initial application of January 1, 2018. As a result, the Company changed its accounting policy for revenue recognition as detailed below.

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09 (Topic 606) "Revenue from Contracts with Customers." Topic 606 supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in Topic 605 "Revenue Recognition" (Topic 605) and requires entities to recognize revenue when control of the promised goods or services is transferred to customers at an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled to in exchange for those goods or services. The Company adopted Topic 606 as of January 1, 2018 using the modified retrospective transition method with the impact upon adoption not significant.

The Company records revenue from contracts with its customers through the execution of Master Service Agreements (MSAs) that are effectuated through individual Statements of Work (SOW). The MSAs generally define the financial, service, and communication obligations between the client and SPAR while the SOWs state the project objective, scope of work, time frame, rate and driver in which SPAR will be paid. Only when the MSA and SOW are combined, can all five revenue standard criteria be met. The Company integrates a series of tasks promised within these contracts into a bundle of services that represent the combined performance obligation of Merchandising Services. Such Merchandising Services are performed over the duration of the SOW. Most Merchandising Services are performed on a daily, weekly or monthly basis. Revenue from Merchandising Services are recognized as the services are performed based on a rate per driver basis (per hour, store visit or unit stocked) with services delivered as they are consumed.

All of the Company's contracts with customers have a duration of one year or less, with over 90% being completed in less than 30-days, and revenue is recognized as services are performed. Given the nature of the Company's business, how the contracts are structured and how the Company is compensated the Company has elected the right-to-invoice practical expedient allowed under the revenue standard.

SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited) (continued)

On December 22, 2017, the U.S. Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the “Tax Act”) was enacted into law. The Company is continuing to evaluate the Tax Act and its requirements, as well as its application to our business and its impact on our effective tax rate.

The Company is applying the guidance to address the accounting for income taxes under accounting standards in situations when a registrant does not have the necessary information available, prepared, or analyzed (including computations) in reasonable detail to complete the accounting for certain income tax effects of the Tax Act. Accounting standards provide a reasonable “measurement period” not to exceed twelve months from the date of enactment to complete the accounting of these provisional estimates. As disclosed in the Company’s Annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, two material provisional estimates that impacted the Company were the U.S. statutory rate reduction and the one-time transition tax. These amounts are considered provisional because they use reasonable estimates of which tax returns have not been filed and because estimated amounts may be impacted by future regulatory and accounting guidance if and when issued.

For the first quarter of 2018, there were no significant changes to the Company’s provisional estimates of the income tax effects reflected in 2017 for the changes in tax law and tax rate from the enactment of the Tax Act. The impact of tax law changes on the Company’s financial statements could differ from its reasonable estimates due to further analysis of the new law, regulatory guidance, technical corrections, legislation, or guidance under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. If significant changes occur, the Company will provide updated information in connection with future regulatory filings or the Company will adjust these provisional amounts as further information becomes available and as we refine our calculations.

For the first quarter of 2018, the Company’s effective tax rate was favorably impacted by the reduction in the U.S. statutory tax rate due to the enactment of the Tax Act. This favorable impact was partially offset by certain base broadening provisions of the Tax Act. In the first quarter of 2018, our effective tax rate was 25%, as compared to 38% in the first quarter of 2017.

Accounting standards require that all tax positions be analyzed using a two-step approach. The first step requires an entity to determine if a tax position is more-likely- than-not to be sustained upon examination. In the second step, the tax benefit is measured as the largest amount of benefit, determined on a cumulative probability basis, that is more-likely-than-not to be realized upon ultimate settlement. In the first three months of 2018, the Company did not change its liability for unrecognized tax benefits. As of March 31, 2018, the Company had accrued approximately \$112,000 for unrecognized tax benefits. In accordance with applicable accounting standards, the Company’s deferred tax asset as of March 31, 2018 reflects a reduction for \$112,000 of these unrecognized tax benefits.

## **2. Business and Organization**

The Company is a supplier of merchandising and other marketing services throughout the United States and internationally. The Company provides merchandising and other marketing services to manufacturers, distributors and retailers worldwide, primarily in mass merchandiser, office supply, grocery, drug, dollar, independent, convenience, home improvement and electronics stores, as well as providing furniture and other product assembly services, audit services, in-store events, technology services and marketing research.

Merchandising services primarily consist of regularly scheduled, special project and other product services provided at the store level, and the Company may be engaged by either the retailer or the manufacturer. Those services may include restocking and adding new products, removing spoiled or outdated products, resetting categories in accordance with client or store schematics, confirming and replacing shelf tags, setting new sale or promotional product displays and advertising, replenishing kiosks, providing in-store event staffing and providing assembly services in stores, homes and offices. Other merchandising services include whole store or departmental product sets or resets, including new store openings, new product launches and in-store demonstrations, audit services, special seasonal or promotional merchandising, focused product support and product recalls. The Company also provides technology services and marketing research services.

As of March 31, 2018, the Company operates in 10 countries and divides its operations into two reportable segments: its Domestic Division, which has provided services in the United States of America since certain of its predecessors were formed in 1979, and its International Division, which began operations in May 2001 and provides similar merchandising, marketing, audit and in-store event staffing services in Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, India, Japan, Mexico, South Africa, and Turkey.



SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited) (continued)

**3. Earnings Per Share**

The following table sets forth the computations of basic and diluted net income per share (in thousands, except per share data):

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2018</b>	<b>2017</b>
<b>Numerator:</b>		
Net income (loss) attributable to SPAR Group, Inc.	\$ 124	\$ (244)
<b>Denominator:</b>		
Weighted average shares used in basic net income per share calculation	20,648	20,649
Weighted average shares used in diluted net income per share calculation	21,599	20,649
Basic and diluted net income per common share	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.01)

**4. Credit Facilities and Other Debt**

***PNC Credit Facility:***

On January 16, 2018, the Company repaid and replaced its existing Sterling Credit Facility with a new secured revolving credit facility in the United States and Canada (the "PNC Credit Facility") with PNC Bank, National Association ("PNC").

In order to obtain, document and govern the new PNC Credit Facility: SGRP and certain of its direct and indirect subsidiaries in the United States and Canada, namely SPAR Marketing Force, Inc., SPAR Assembly & Installation, Inc., and SPAR Canada Company (each, a "PNC Borrower" and collectively, the "PNC Borrowers"), and SPAR Canada, Inc., SPAR Acquisition, Inc., SPAR Group International, Inc., and SPAR Trademarks, Inc. (together with SGRP, each a "PNC Guarantor" and collectively, the "PNC Guarantors"), entered into a Loan Agreement with PNC dated as of January 16, 2018 (the "PNC Loan Agreement"); the PNC Borrowers issued their \$9 million Committed Line Of Credit Note to PNC dated January 16, 2018 (the "PNC Note"), which evidences the PNC Borrowers' loans and other obligations to PNC; the PNC Guarantors entered into a Guaranty and Suretyship Agreement with PNC dated as of January 16, 2018 (the "PNC Guaranty"), which guaranties the PNC Borrowers' loans and other obligations to PNC; and the PNC Borrowers and PNC Guarantors (each, a "PNC Loan Party" and collectively, the "PNC Loan Parties") entered into a Security Agreement with PNC dated as of January 16, 2018 (the "PNC Security Agreement"), which secures the obligations of the PNC Loan Parties to PNC with pledges of substantially all of the assets of the PNC Loan Parties (other than SGRP's foreign subsidiaries, certain designated domestic subsidiaries, and their respective equity and assets).

The PNC Note currently requires the PNC Borrowers to pay interest on the loans thereunder equal to (A) the Daily LIBOR Rate (as defined therein) per annum, plus (B) two hundred fifty basis points (2.50%). On March 31, 2018, the aggregate interest rate under that formula was 4.383% per annum, and the outstanding balance was \$6.1 million.

Revolving loans of up to \$9 million are available to the Company under the PNC Credit Facility based upon the borrowing base formula defined in the PNC Loan Agreement (principally 85% of "eligible" accounts receivable less certain reserves). As the PNC Credit Facility is currently scheduled to become due on January 16, 2020, and since there are no provisions (other than defaults) requiring the paydown of the loan until such time, the amounts are classified as long-term debt.

SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited) (continued)

On January 16, 2018, the Company drew down an initial advance under the PNC Credit Facility of approximately \$7.6 million, which was used to repay the existing Sterling Credit Facility.

The PNC Credit Facility contains certain financial and other restrictive covenants and also limits certain expenditures by the PNC Loan Parties, including, but not limited to, capital expenditures and other investments. At March 31, 2018, the PNC Loan Parties were in compliance with such covenants.

**Fifth Third Credit Facility:**

On January 9, 2018, the Company completed its acquisition of a 51% interest in its new subsidiaries, Resource Plus, Inc., and related companies (collectively, "Resource Plus"). See Note 10 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements - *Purchase of Interests in Subsidiaries - Resource Plus Acquisition*, below. When acquired, Resource Plus was a party to a revolving line of credit facility it secured on May 23, 2016, (the "Fifth Third Credit Facility") from Fifth Third Bank for \$3.5 million, which was scheduled to expire on May 23, 2018. Effective April 11, 2018, the term of the Fifth Third Credit Facility was extended and is currently scheduled to become due on May 23, 2020. As there are no provisions (other than defaults) requiring the paydown of the loan until May 23, 2020, the amounts are classified as long-term debt.

Revolving loans of up to \$3.5 million are available to Resource Plus under the Fifth Third Credit Facility based upon the borrowing base formula defined in the agreement (principally 80% of "eligible" accounts receivable less certain reserves). As of March 31, 2018, the outstanding balance was \$780,000. The Fifth Third Credit Facility is secured by substantially all assets of Resource Plus and is not guaranteed by SGRP.

The Fifth Third Credit Facility currently requires Resource Plus to pay interest on the loans thereunder equal to [(A) the Daily LIBOR Rate (as defined in the agreement) per annum, plus (B) two hundred fifty basis points (2.50%)]. On March 31, 2018, the aggregate interest rate under that formula was 4.383% per annum.

**Other Debt:**

Effective with the closing of the Resource Plus acquisition, the Company entered into promissory notes with the sellers totaling \$2.7 million. The notes are payable in annual installments at various amounts due on Dec. 31st of each year starting with December 31, 2018 and continuing through December 31, 2023, accruing interest annually at 1.85%. As such these notes are classified as both short term and long term for the appropriate amounts. (See Note 10 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements – *Purchase of Interests in Subsidiaries*, below)

**International Credit Facilities:**

SPARFACTS Australia Pty. Ltd. has a secured line of credit facility with National Australia Bank, effective October 31, 2017, for \$800,000 (Australian) or approximately \$615,000 USD (based upon the exchange rate at March 31, 2018). The facility provides for borrowing based upon a formula, as defined in the agreement (principally 80% of eligible accounts receivable less certain deductions). The outstanding balance with National Australia Bank as of March 31, 2018 was \$443,000 USD and is due on demand.

On November 29, 2016, SPAR Brazil established a line of credit facility with Itau Bank for 4.0 million Brazilian Real or approximately \$1.2 million USD (based upon the exchange rate at March 31, 2018). The facility provides for borrowing based upon a formula, as defined in the agreement (principally 80% of eligible accounts receivable less certain deductions). The agreement is from month to month at the Company's request. No amounts were outstanding on the line of credit as of March 31, 2018.

On December 26, 2016, SPAR Brazil secured a line of credit facility with Daycoval Bank for 5.0 million Brazilian Real or approximately \$1.6 million USD (based upon the exchange rate at March 31, 2018). The facility provides for borrowing based upon a formula, as defined in the agreement (principally 80% of eligible accounts receivable less certain deductions). The agreement is from month to month at the Company's request. As of March 31, 2018, 5.3 million Brazilian Real or \$1.6 million USD was outstanding (based upon the exchange rate at March 31, 2018).

SPAR Todopromo has secured a line of credit facility with BBVA Bancomer Bank for 5.0 million Mexican Pesos or approximately \$275,000 USD (based upon the exchange rate at March 31, 2018). The revolving line of credit was secured on March 15, 2016, and originally expired March 2018. The facility has been amended to extend the terms to March 2020. The variable interest rate is TIEE (Interbank Interest Rate) +4%, which resulted in an annual interest rate of 5% at the end of March 31, 2018. The outstanding balance at March 31, 2018 was zero.

The Company had scheduled contractual future maturities of loans as of March 31, 2018, approximately as follows (dollars in thousands). The amounts in the table below differ from the condensed consolidated balance sheet due to the fair value adjustment in connection with the Resource Plus Seller Notes (see Note 10 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements – *Purchase of Interests in Subsidiaries - Resource Plus Acquisition* and Note 12 – Capital Lease Obligations, below):

	<b>Interest Rate as of March 31, 2018</b>	<b>2018</b>	<b>2019</b>	<b>2020</b>	<b>2021</b>	<b>2022</b>	<b>2023</b>
USA - PNC Bank	4.383%	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,137	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
USA – Fifth Third Bank	4.383%	-	-	780	-	-	-
USA – Resource Plus Seller Notes	1.85%	333	333	334	300	300	1,100
Australia - National Australia Bank	6.3%	443	-	-	-	-	-
Brazil – Daycoval Bank	1.28%	1,597	-	-	-	-	-
Total		<u>\$ 2,373</u>	<u>\$ 333</u>	<u>\$ 7,251</u>	<u>\$ 300</u>	<u>\$ 300</u>	<u>\$ 1,100</u>

SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited) (continued)

**Summary of Unused Company Credit and Other Debt Facilities (in thousands):**

	March 31, 2018	December 31, 2017
<b>Unused Availability:</b>		
United States	\$ 5,583	\$ 3,530
Australia	172	731
Mexico	275	254
Brazil	1,129	1,554
Total Unused Availability	<u>\$ 7,159</u>	<u>\$ 6,069</u>

Management believes that based upon the continuation of the Company's existing credit facilities, projected results of operations, vendor payment requirements and other financing available to the Company (including amounts due to affiliates), sources of cash availability should be manageable and sufficient to support ongoing operations over the next year. However, delays in collection of receivables due from any of the Company's major clients, or a significant reduction in business from such clients, and possible litigation expenses could have a material adverse effect on the Company's cash resources and its ongoing ability to fund operations. See Note 8 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements - Commitments and Contingencies: *Legal Matters* and *SBS and SGRP Litigation Generally*, below.

**5. Related-Party Transactions**

SGRP's policy respecting approval of transactions with related persons, promoters and control persons is contained in the SPAR Group Code of Ethical Conduct for its Directors, Executives, Officers, Employees, Consultants and other Representatives Amended and Restated (as of) March 15, 2018 (the "Ethics Code"). The Ethics Code is intended to promote and reward honest, ethical, respectful and professional conduct by each director, executive, officer, employee, consultant and other representative of any of SGRP and its subsidiaries (together with SGRP, the "Company") and each other Covered Person (as defined in the Ethics Code) in his or her position with the Company anywhere in the world, including (among other things) serving each customer, dealing with each vendor and treating each other with integrity and respect, and behaving honestly, ethically and professionally with each customer, each vendor, each other and the Company. Article II of the Ethics Code specifically prohibits various forms of self-dealing (including dealing with relatives) and collusion and Article V of the Ethics Code generally prohibits each "Covered Person" (including SGRP's officers and directors) from using or disclosing the Confidential Information of the Company or any of its customers or vendors, seeking or accepting anything of value from any competitor, customer, vendor, or other person relating to doing business with the Company, or engaging in any business activity that conflicts with his or her duties to the Company, and directs each "Covered Person" to avoid any activity or interest that is inconsistent with the best interests of the SPAR Group, in each case except for any "Approved Activity" (as such terms are defined in the Ethics Code). Examples of violations include (among other things) having any ownership interest in, acting as a director or officer of or otherwise personally benefiting from business with any competitor, customer or vendor of the Company other than pursuant to any Approved Activity. Approved Activities include (among other things) any contract with an affiliated person (each an "Approved Affiliate Contract") or anything else disclosed to and approved by SGRP's Board of Directors (the "Board"), its Governance Committee or its Audit Committee, as the case may be, as well as the ownership, board, executive and other positions held in and services and other contributions to affiliates of SGRP and its subsidiaries by certain directors, officers or employees of SGRP, any of its subsidiaries or any of their respective family members. The Company's senior management is generally responsible for monitoring compliance with the Ethics Code and establishing and maintaining compliance systems, including those related to the oversight and approval of conflicting relationships and transactions, subject to the review and oversight of SGRP's Governance Committee as provided in clause IV.11 of the Governance Committee's Charter, and SGRP's Audit Committee as provided in clause I.2(l) of the Audit Committee's Charter. The Governance Committee and Audit Committee each consist solely of independent outside directors (see *Domestic Related Party Services*, *International Related Party Services*, *Related Party Transaction Summary*, *Related Party Transaction Summary*, *Affinity Insurance*, and *Other Related Party Transactions and Arrangements*, below).

SGRP's Audit Committee has the specific duty and responsibility to review and approve the overall fairness and terms of all material related-party transactions. The Audit Committee receives affiliate contracts and amendments thereto for its review and approval (to the extent approval is given), and these contracts are periodically (often annually) again reviewed, in accordance with the Audit Committee Charter, the Ethics Code, the rules of the Nasdaq Stock Market, Inc. ("Nasdaq"), and other applicable law to ensure that the overall economic and other terms will be (or continue to be) no less favorable to the Company than would be the case in an arms-length contract with an unrelated provider of similar services (i.e., its overall fairness to the Company, including pricing, payments to related parties, and the ability to provide services at comparable performance levels). The Audit Committee periodically reviews all related party relationships and transactions described below.

SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited) (continued)

In addition, in order to (among other things) assist the Board and the Audit Committee in connection with an overall review of the Company's related party transactions and certain worker classification-related litigation matters, in April 2017 the Board formed a special subcommittee of the Audit Committee (the "Special Subcommittee") to (among other things) review the structure, documentation, fairness, conflicts, fidelity, appropriateness, and practices respecting each of the relationships and transactions discussed in this Note.

The Special Subcommittee engaged Morrison Valuation & Forensic Services, LLC ("Morrison"), to perform a third-party financial evaluation of certain domestic related party relationships and transactions (principally with SAS and SBS of the Company, which included the review of certain financial records of the Company (but not those of its affiliates)) and discussions with management of the Company. Their task included (among other things) the identification and mapping of and apparent purposes for and benefits from cash flows between the Company and its affiliates. Morrison identified a number of transactions between the parties, while not material, were inefficient, time consuming and of limited business value to the parties. They included expense reimbursement for indirect charges for supply purchases, corporate vendor service cost and use of corporate credit cards in the payment of vendor services. These inefficiencies have been and will continue to be addressed by the Company. The Special Subcommittee also engaged Holland & Knight to provide ongoing legal advice on related party issues, and Paul Hastings to provide ongoing legal advice on independent contractor classification issues (including the SBS Clothier Case). See Note 8 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, *Commitments and Contingencies - Legal Matters - SBS Clothier Litigation*, below.

The Company is currently unable to predict the remaining duration and final results of this review by the Special Subcommittee.

**Domestic Related Party Services:**

SPAR Business Services, Inc. ("SBS"), SPAR Administrative Services, Inc. ("SAS"), and SPAR InfoTech, Inc. ("SIT"), are affiliates of SGRP but are not under the control or part of the consolidated Company. Mr. Robert G. Brown, a major stockholder and through May 3, 2018, the Chairman and a Director of SGRP, and Mr. William H. Bartels, a Director, Vice Chairman and a major stockholder of SGRP, are the sole stockholders of SBS. Mr. Brown is the sole stockholder of SIT. Mr. Brown is a director and officer of SBS and SIT. Mr. Bartels is a director and officer of SAS. The stockholders of SAS are Mr. Bartels and parties related to Mr. Brown and his family, each of whom is considered an affiliate of the Company for related party purposes because of their family relationships with Mr. Brown.

The Company executes the services it provides to its domestic clients primarily through field merchandising, auditing, assembly and other field personnel (each a "Field Specialist"), substantially all of whom have been independent contractors provided by SBS, and administers those services through local, regional, district and other personnel (each a "Field Administrator"), substantially all of whom have been provided by SAS. The Company paid \$6.8 million and \$5.9 million during the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, to SBS for its provision as needed of approximately 4,220 of SBS's available Field Specialists in the U.S.A. (which amounted to approximately 52% and 83% of the Company's total domestic Field Specialist expense for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively). The Company paid \$1.1 million for both the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively, to SAS for its provision of its 57 and 55 full-time regional and district administrators (which amounted to approximately 91% and 92% of the Company's total domestic field administrative service cost for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017). In addition to these field service and administration expenses, SAS also incurs other administrative expenses related to benefit and employment tax expenses of SAS and payroll processing, legal and other administrative expenses and SBS incurs expenses for processing vendor payments, legal defense and other administrative expenses (but those expenses are only reimbursed by SGRP to the extent approved by the Company as described below). The total cost recorded by the Company for the expenses of SBS and SAS in providing their services to the Company, including the "Cost Plus Fee" arrangement (as defined and discussed below) and other expenses paid directly by the Company on behalf of and invoiced to SBS and SAS, was \$7.9 million and \$6.9 million, for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited) (continued)

The terms of the Amended and Restated Field Service Agreement with SBS dated as of January 1, 2004, as amended in 2011, and the Amended and Restated Field Management Agreement with SAS dated as of January 1, 2004 (each a "Prior Agreement"), defined reimbursable expenses and established a "Cost Plus Fee" arrangement where the Company paid SBS and SAS for their costs of providing those services plus a fixed percentage of such reimbursable expenses (the "Cost Plus Fee"). The parties have had negotiations respecting replacement agreements since the Prior Agreements expired on November 30, 2014. As further described below, a new Field Administration Agreement was entered into with SAS in 2016.

The Company and SBS have agreed to an arrangement for a revised Cost Plus Fee equal to 2.96% of the Field Specialists costs and certain other approved reimbursable expenses incurred by SBS in performing services for the Company, subject to certain offsetting credits. This arrangement went into effect on and has applied since December 1, 2014. The Company has offered a new agreement to SBS confirming that reimbursable expenses are subject review and approval by the Company, but SBS has rejected that proposal.

The Company believes its net costs for Field Specialists for the three month period ending March 31, 2018 could have been approximately \$270,000 less if it had been feasible for the Company to directly engage those Field Specialists on terms substantially similar to SBS.

No SBS compensation to any officer, director or other related party has been reimbursed or approved to date by the Company, and no such compensation reimbursements were made or approved under SBS's Prior Agreement. This is not a restriction on SBS since SBS is not controlled by the Company and may pay any compensation to any person that SBS desires out of its own funds. However, SBS has invoiced the Company monthly for certain such compensation payments from July 2017 to March 31, 2018, but the Company has rejected those invoices as non-reimbursable expenses. Since SBS is a "Subchapter S" corporation, all income from SBS is allocated to its stockholders (see above).

The Company has determined that the rates charged by SBS for the services of its field merchandising, auditing, assembly and other field personnel (each a "Field Specialist") are favorable to the Company when compared to other possible non-affiliate providers. SBS has advised the Company that those favorable rates are dependent (at least in part) on SBS's ability to continue to use independent contractors as its Field Specialists, that such Field Specialists generally provide greater flexibility at lower total costs as a result of their business independence and initiative, and that it has an agreement with each Field Specialist clearly confirming his, her, or its status as an independent contractor.

The appropriateness of SBS's treatment of its Field Specialists as independent contractors has been periodically subject to legal challenge (both currently and historically) by various states and others, SBS's expenses of defending those challenges and other proceedings have historically been reimbursed by the Company under SBS's Prior Agreement, and SBS's expenses of defending those challenges and other proceedings were reimbursed by the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 (in the amounts of \$60,000 and \$85,000, respectively), after determination (on a case by case basis) that those defense expenses were costs of providing services to the Company. The Company has advised SBS that, since there is no currently effective comprehensive written services agreement with SBS, the Company will continue to review and decide each request by SBS for reimbursement of its legal defense expenses (including appeals) on a case-by-case basis in its discretion, including the relative costs and benefits to the Company. The Company has not agreed, and does not currently intend, to reimburse SBS for any judgment or similar amount (including any damages, settlement, or related tax, penalty, or interest) in any legal challenge or other proceeding against or involving SBS, and the Company does not believe it has ever done so (other than in insignificant nuisance amounts). However, there can be no assurance that SBS will be able to satisfy any such judgment or similar amount resulting from any adverse legal determination, that SBS or someone else will not claim, or that SBS will be able to successfully defend any claim, that the Company is liable (under applicable law, through reimbursement or indemnification, or otherwise) for any such judgment or similar amount imposed against SBS. Furthermore, there can be no assurance that SBS will succeed in defending any such legal challenge, the legal expenses of prolonged litigation and appeals could continue to be (and have from time to time been) significant, and prolonged litigation and appeals and any adverse determination in any such challenge could have a material adverse effect on SBS's ability to provide services needed by the Company and the Company's costs of doing business.

SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited) (continued)

Current material and potentially material proceedings against SBS and, in one instance, the Company are described in Note 8 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements - *Commitments and Contingencies - Legal Matters*, below. These descriptions are based on an independent review by the Company and do not reflect the views of SBS, its management or its counsel.

Any prolonged continuation of or material increase in the legal defense costs of SBS (and thus the reimbursable expenses SBS may charge to and that may be paid by the Company to the extent reimbursement is approved by the Company in its discretion), the failure of SBS to satisfy any such judgment or similar amount resulting from any adverse legal determination against SBS, any claim by SBS, SAS, any other related party or any third party that the Company is somehow liable for any such judgment or similar amount imposed against SBS or SAS or any other related party, any judicial determination that the Company is somehow liable for any such judgment or similar amount imposed against SBS or SAS or any other related party (in whole or in part), any decrease in SBS's or SAS's performance (quality or otherwise), any inability by SBS or SAS to execute the services for the Company, or any increase in the Company's use of employees (rather than independent contractors) as its domestic Field Specialists, in each case in whole or in part, could have a material adverse effect on the Company or its performance or condition (including its assets, business, clients, capital, cash flow, credit, expenses, financial condition, income, liabilities, liquidity, locations, marketing, operations, prospects, sales, strategies, taxation or other achievement, results or condition), whether actual or as planned, intended, anticipated, estimated or otherwise expected.

On June 14, 2016, SAS and SPAR Marketing Force, Inc. ("SMF") entered into a new Field Administration Agreement (the "SAS Agreement"). In order to provide continuity with SAS's Prior Agreement, the SAS Agreement is effective and governs the relationship of the parties as of December 1, 2014, and amends, restates and completely replaces SAS's Prior Agreement. The SAS Agreement more clearly defines reimbursable and excluded expenses and the budget and approval procedures and continues the indemnifications and releases provided by SAS's Prior Agreement (which indemnifications and releases were and are comparable to those applicable to SGRP's directors and executive officers under its By-Laws and applicable law). Specifically, the SAS Agreement reduced the Cost Plus Fee from 4% to 2% effective as of June 1, 2016.

On May 7, 2018, the Company gave a termination notice to SAS specifying July 31, 2018, as the end of the Service Term under (and as defined in) SAS Agreement. The Company is negotiating and anticipates reaching a non-exclusive agreement with an independent third party vendor to provide substantially all of the domestic Field Administrators used by the Company. The Company expects a smooth and seamless transition to such new vendor that will provide the Company with continuity of great execution and be virtually unnoticeable to the Company's Clients. (See Note 13 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements - *Subsequent Events*, below).

SGRP's Audit Committee has approved the SAS Agreement pursuant to its specific duty and responsibility to review and approve the overall fairness of all material related-party transactions, as more fully provided above in this note.

No SAS compensation to any officer, director or other related party (other than to Mr. Peter W. Brown, a related party as noted below, pursuant to previously approved budgets) has been reimbursed or approved to date by the Company, and no such compensation reimbursements were made or approved under SAS's Prior Agreement. This is not a restriction on SAS since SAS is not controlled by the Company and may pay any compensation to any person that SAS desires out of its own funds. Since SAS is a "Subchapter S" corporation, all income from SAS is allocated to its stockholders (see above).

Peter W. Brown ("Peter Brown") was an employee of SAS, is the nephew of SGRP's major stockholder (and former Chairman, Director and Officer), Mr. Robert G. Brown, and is a director of SPAR BSMT and owns EILLC which owns 10% interest in the Company's Brazilian subsidiary. Peter W. Brown was an official observer at the meetings of SGRP's Board from 2014 through December 2016 and was appointed as a Director on the Board as of May 3, 2018, replacing Mr. Brown upon his retirement from the Board and Company at that date. Peter W. Brown also is, and since 2013 has been, a director of Affinity Insurance, Ltd (see Affinity Insurance, below). Accordingly, Peter W. Brown is an affiliate and related party in respect of the Company.

National Merchandising Services, LLC ("NMS"), is a consolidated domestic subsidiary of the Company and is owned jointly by SGRP through its indirect ownership of 51% of the NMS membership interests and by National Merchandising of America, Inc. ("NMA"), through its ownership of the other 49% of the NMS membership interests. Mr. Edward Burdekin is the Chief Executive Officer and President and a director of NMS and also is an executive officer and director of NMA. Ms. Andrea Burdekin, Mr. Burdekin's wife, is the sole stockholder and a director of NMA and a director of NMS. NMA is an affiliate of the Company but is not under the control of or consolidated with the Company.

SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited) (continued)

Resource Plus, Inc. ("RPI"), is a consolidated domestic subsidiary of the Company and is owned jointly by SGRP through its indirect ownership of 51% of the RPI membership interests and by Mr. Justus through his ownership of the other 49% of the RPI membership interests. (See Note 10 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements -*Purchase of Interest in Subsidiaries*, below).

***International Related Party Services:***

SGRP Meridian (Pty), Ltd. ("Meridian") is a consolidated international subsidiary of the Company and is owned 51% by SGRP and 49% by the following individuals: Mr. Brian Mason, Mr. Garry Bristow, and Mr. Adrian Wingfield. Mr. Mason is President and a director and Mr. Bristow is an officer and director of Meridian. Mr. Mason is also an officer and director and 50% shareholder of Merhold Property Trust ("MPT"). Mr. Mason and Mr. Bristow are both officers and directors and both own 50% of Merhold Cape Property Trust ("MCPT"). Mr. Mason, Mr. Bristow and Mr. Wingfield are all officers and own 46.7%, 20% and 33.3%, respectively of Merhold Holding Trust ("MHT") which provides similar services like MPT. MPT owns the building where Meridian is headquartered and also owns 2 vehicles both of which are subleased to Meridian. MCPT provides a fleet of 126 vehicles to Meridian under a 4 year lease program. These leases are provided to Meridian at local market rates included in the summary table below.

SPAR Todopromo is a consolidated international subsidiary of the Company and is owned 51% by SGRP and 49% by the following individuals: Mr. Juan F. Medina Domenzain, Juan Medina Staines, Julia Cesar Hernandez Vanegas, and Jorge Medina Staines. Mr. Juan F. Medina Domenzain is an officer and director of SPAR Todopromo and is also majority shareholder (90%) of CONAPAD ("CON") which supplied administrative and operational consulting support to SPAR Todopromo in 2016.

In August 2016, Mr. Juan F. Medina Domenzain ("JFMD"), partner in SPAR Todopromo, purchased the warehouse that was being leased by SPAR Todopromo. The lease expired on December 31, 2017, and was renewed until December 31, 2020.

The Company's subsidiary in Brazil, SPAR Brasil Serviços de Merchandising e Tecnologia S.A., a Brazilian corporation ("SPAR BSMT") has contracted with Ms. Karla Dagues Martins, a Brazilian citizen and resident sister to Mr. Jonathan Dagues Martins, President and a part owner of SPAR BSMT, to handle the labor litigation cases for SPAR BSMT and its subsidiaries. These legal services are being provided to them at local market rates by Ms. Martins' company, Karla Martins Sociedade de Advogados ("KMSA"). Accordingly, Mr. Jonathan Dagues Martins and Ms. Karla Dagues Martins are each an affiliate and a related party in respect of the Company.

***Summary of Related Party Transactions:***

The Company believes it is the largest and most important customer of SBS, SAS, MPT, MCPT, MHT, CON, JFMD and KMSA (and from time to time may be their only customer), and accordingly the Company generally has been able to negotiate better terms, receives more personal and responsive service and is more likely to receive credits and other financial accommodations from SBS, SAS, MPT, MCPT, MHT, CON, JFMD and KMSA than the Company could reasonably expect to receive from an unrelated service provider who has significant other customers and business. SBS, SAS and other material affiliate contracts and arrangements are annually reviewed and considered for approval by SGRP's Audit Committee, subject to the ongoing negotiations with SBS as described above.

SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited) (continued)

The following costs of affiliates were charged to the Company (in thousands):

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2018</b>	<b>2017</b>
<b>Services provided by affiliates:</b>		
Field merchandiser and other expenses (SBS)	\$ 6,729	\$ 5,875
Field administration and other expenses (SAS)	1,149	1,074
Office and vehicle rental expenses (MPT)	19	15
Vehicle rental expenses (MCPT)	339	297
Office and vehicle rental expenses (MHT)	53	40
Consulting and administrative services (CON)	59	96
Legal Services (KMSA)	26	24
Warehousing rental (JFMD)	12	11
	<b>\$ 8,386</b>	<b>\$ 7,432</b>

\* Includes substantially all overhead (in the case of SAS and SBS), or related overhead, plus any applicable markup.



SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited) (continued)

Due to affiliates consists of the following (in thousands):	March 31, 2018	December 31, 2017
<b>Loans from local investors:(1)</b>		
Australia	\$ 246	\$ 250
Mexico	1,001	1,001
Brazil	139	139
China	719	719
South Africa	33	24
Resource Plus	731	-
<b>Accrued Expenses due to affiliates:</b>		
SBS/SAS	2,109	893
<b>Total due to affiliates</b>	<b>\$ 4,978</b>	<b>\$ 3,026</b>

(1) Represent loans from the local investors into the Company's subsidiaries (representing their proportionate share of working capital loans). The loans have no payment terms and are due on demand and as such have been classified as current liabilities in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited) (continued)

***Affinity Insurance:***

In addition to the above, SAS purchases insurance coverage for worker compensation, casualty and property insurance risk for itself, for SBS on behalf of its Field Specialists that require such insurance coverage (all who do not provide their own), and for the Company from Affinity Insurance, Ltd. ("Affinity"). SAS owns a minority (less than 1%) of the common stock in Affinity. Based on informal arrangements between the parties, the Affinity insurance premiums for such coverage are ultimately charged (through SAS) for their fair share of the costs of that insurance to SMF, SAS (which then charges the Company) and SBS.

In addition to those required periodic premiums, Affinity also requires payment of cash collateral deposits ("Cash Collateral"), and Cash Collateral amounts are initially determined and from time to time re-determined (upward or downward) by Affinity. The Cash Collateral deposit with Affinity since 2012 now totals approximately \$965,000; approximately \$379,000 of that Cash Collateral was allocable to SBS and approximately \$296,000 of that Cash Collateral was allocable to SMF and the balance of approximately \$290,000 was allocated to other affiliates of the Company. The Cash Collateral deposits allocable to SBS have been paid by SAS on behalf of SBS, SAS received advances to make such payments from SBS, and SBS in turn received advances to make such payments from SMF. The Cash Collateral deposits allocable to SMF have been paid by SAS on behalf of SMF, and SAS received advances to make such payments from SMF. SAS, SBS and SMF are currently negotiating reimbursement and security agreements to document and confirm those advances totaling approximately \$675,000 and repayment obligations.

Affinity from time to time may (in the case of a downward adjustment in such periodic premiums or the Cash Collateral) make refunds, rebates or other returns of such periodic premiums and Cash Collateral deposits to SAS for the benefit of itself, SBS and SMF (as returned, "Affinity Refunds"). SAS is obligated to return to SBS, and SBS is obligated to return to SMF, any and all Affinity Refunds allocable to SBS in repayment of the corresponding advances. SAS is obligated to return to SMF any and all Affinity Refunds allocable to SMF in repayment of the corresponding advances.

**Other Related Party Transactions and Arrangements:**

In July 1999, SMF, SBS and SIT entered into a perpetual software ownership agreement providing that each party independently owned an undivided share of and had the right to unilaterally license and exploit their "Business Manager" internet job scheduling software (which had been jointly developed by such parties), and all related improvements, revisions, developments and documentation from time to time voluntarily made or procured by any of them at its own expense. Business Manager and its other proprietary software and applications are used by the Company for (among other things) the scheduling, tracking, coordination and reporting of its merchandising and marketing services and are accessible via the internet or other applicable telecommunication network by the authorized representatives of the Company and its clients through their respective computers and mobile devices. In addition, SPAR Trademarks, Inc. ("STM"), a wholly owned subsidiary of SGRP, SBS and SIT entered into separate perpetual trademark licensing agreements whereby STM has granted non-exclusive royalty-free licenses to SIT and SBS (and through them to their commonly controlled subsidiaries and affiliates by sublicenses, including SAS) for their continued use of the name "SPAR" and certain other trademarks and related rights of STM. SBS and SAS provide services to the Company, as described above, SIT assisted in the Brazilian acquisition at a cost to the Company of \$49,000, as described below, and SIT no longer provides services to and does not compete with the Company.

Through arrangements with the Company, SBS (owned by Mr. Bartels and Mr. Brown), SAS (owned by Mr. Bartels and family members of Mr. Brown), and other companies owned by Mr. Brown participate in various benefit plans, insurance policies and similar group purchases by the Company, for which the Company charges them their allocable shares of the costs of those group items and the actual costs of all items paid specifically for them. All such transactions between the Company and the above affiliates are paid and/or collected by the Company in the normal course of business.

**6. Preferred Stock**

SGRP's certificate of incorporation authorizes it to issue 3,000,000 shares of preferred stock with a par value of \$0.01 per share (the "SGRP Preferred Stock"), which may have such preferences and priorities over the SGRP Common Stock and other rights, powers and privileges as the Company's Board of Directors may establish in its discretion from time to time. The Company has created and authorized the issuance of a maximum of 3,000,000 shares of Series A Preferred Stock pursuant to SGRP's Certificate of Designation of Series "A" Preferred Stock (the "SGRP Series A Preferred Stock"), which have dividend and liquidation preferences, have a cumulative dividend of 10% per year, are redeemable at the Company's option and are convertible at the holder's option (and without further consideration) on a one-to-one basis into SGRP Common Stock. The Company issued 554,402 of SGRP shares to affiliated retirement plans, which were all converted into common shares in 2011 (including dividends earned thereon), leaving 2,445,598 shares of remaining authorized preferred stock. At March 31, 2018, no shares of SGRP Series A Preferred Stock were issued and outstanding.

**7. Stock-Based Compensation and Other Plans**

SGRP has granted restricted stock and stock option awards to its eligible directors, officers and employees and certain employees of its affiliates respecting shares of Common Stock issued by SGRP ("SGRP Shares") pursuant to SGRP's 2008 Stock Compensation Plan (as amended, the "2008 Plan"), which was approved by SGRP's stockholders in May of 2008 and 2009. The 2008 Plan provides for the granting of restricted SGRP shares, stock options to purchase SGRP shares (either incentive or nonqualified), and restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights and other awards based on SGRP shares ("Awards") to SGRP Directors and the Company's specified executives, employees and consultants (which are employees of certain of its affiliates), although to date SGRP has not issued any permissible form of Award other than stock option, restricted share awards, and performance stock units. As of March 31, 2018, approximately 340,750 SGRP shares were available for Award grants under the amended 2008 Plan. In the first quarter, there were 25,000 options awarded to certain employees of SPAR Group, Inc.

The Company recognized \$43,000 and \$62,000 in stock-based compensation expense relating to stock option awards during the three month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. The tax benefit available from stock based compensation expense related to stock options during the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 was approximately \$16,000 and \$23,000 respectively. As of March 31, 2018, total unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to stock options was \$459,000.

SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited) (continued)

During the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, the Company recognized approximately \$6,000 and \$12,000, respectively of stock based compensation expense related to restricted stock. The tax benefit available to the Company from stock based compensation expense related to restricted stock during the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 was approximately \$2,000 and \$5,000, respectively. As of March 31, 2018, total unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to unvested restricted stock Awards was \$10,000.

At the 2018 Annual Shareholders Meeting on May 2, 2018, the shareholders of SGRP voted for the 2018 Stock Compensation Plan, as more fully described in Note 13 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements – *Subsequent Events*, below.

## 8. Commitments and Contingencies

### *Legal Matters*

The Company is a party to various legal actions and administrative proceedings arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of Company's management, disposition of these matters are not anticipated to have a material adverse effect on the Company or its estimated or desired affiliates, assets, business, clients, capital, cash flow, credit, expenses, financial condition, income, liabilities, liquidity, locations, marketing, operations, prospects, sales, strategies, taxation or other achievement, results or condition.

The Company's merchandising, audit, assembly and other services for its domestic clients are performed by field merchandising, auditing, assembly and other field personnel (each a "Field Specialist"). The Company's affiliate, SPAR Business Services, Inc. ("SBS"), during 2017 provided approximately 10,700 Field Specialists (all of whom were engaged as independent contractors by SBS), representing 77% (or \$25.9 million) of the total cost the Field Specialists utilized by the Company domestically. SBS is not a subsidiary or in any way under the control of SGRP, SBS is not in the Company's financial statements, and SGRP does not participate in or control the defense by SBS of any litigation against it. For contractual details and payment amounts, see Note 5 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements - *Related Party Transactions - Domestic Related Party Services*, above.

The appropriateness of SBS's treatment of its Field Specialists as independent contractors has been periodically subject to legal challenge (both currently and historically) by various states and others. SBS's expenses of defending those challenges and other proceedings have historically been reimbursed by the Company under SBS's Prior Agreement, and SBS's expenses of defending those challenges and other proceedings were reimbursed by the Company in the three month periods ending March 31, 2018 and 2017 (in the amounts of \$60,000 and \$85,000, respectively), after determination (on a case by case basis) that those defense expenses were costs of providing services to the Company.

The Company has advised SBS that, since there is no currently effective comprehensive written services agreement with SBS, the Company will continue to review and decide each request by SBS for reimbursement of its legal defense expenses (including appeals) on a case-by-case basis in its discretion, including the relative costs and benefits to the Company. SBS has disputed the Company's right to review and decide the appropriateness of the reimbursement of any of those defense (and various other) expenses.

As provided in SBS's Prior Agreement, the Company is not obligated or liable, and the Company has not otherwise agreed and does not currently intend, to reimburse SBS for any judgment or similar amount (including any damages, settlement, or related tax, penalty, or interest) in any legal challenge or other proceeding against or involving SBS, and the Company does not believe it has ever done so (other than in insignificant nuisance amounts).

Any prolonged continuation of or material increase in the legal defense costs of SBS (and thus the reimbursable expenses SBS may charge to and that may be paid by the Company to the extent reimbursement is approved by the Company in its discretion) could continue to be (and have from time to time been) significant, and prolonged litigation and appeals and any adverse determination in any such challenge could have a material adverse effect on SBS's ability to provide services needed by the Company and the Company's costs of doing business.

SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited) (continued)

There can be no assurance that SBS will be able to satisfy any such judgment or similar amount resulting from any adverse legal determination. In addition, there can be no assurance that SBS or someone else will not claim, and no assurance that SBS will be able to successfully shield any claim, that the Company is liable (under applicable law, through reimbursement or indemnification, or otherwise) for any such judgment or similar amount imposed against SBS. Any decrease in SBS's performance (quality or otherwise), any inability by SBS to use its assets without encumbrance or execute the services for the Company, or any increase in the Company's use of employees (rather than independent contractors) as its domestic Field Specialists, in each case in whole or in part, could have a material adverse effect on the Company or its performance or condition (including its assets, business, clients, capital, cash flow, credit, expenses, financial condition, income, liabilities, liquidity, locations, marketing, operations, prospects, sales, strategies, taxation or other achievement, results or condition), whether actual or as planned, intended, anticipated, estimated or otherwise expected.

As the Company utilized the services of SBS to support its in-store merchandising needs in California, management of the Company determined, with the support of SGRP's Audit Committee and Board of Directors, that it will be shifting to an all employee servicing model for its Field Specialists in May of 2018 to support the performance of its services in California for clients in this critical market and nationally for certain domestic clients that are requiring the Company to use employees as its Field Specialists. As previously noted, management currently estimates that the potential incremental annual cost of this change in California from independent contractors to Company employees could be substantial. The Company is also evaluating whether this all employee model for its field specialists should be used in other states.

Current material and potentially material proceedings against SBS and, in one instance, the Company are described below. These descriptions are based on an independent review by the Company and do not reflect the views of SBS, its management or its counsel.

***SBS Clothier Litigation***

Melissa Clothier was engaged by SBS (then known as SPAR Marketing Services, Inc.) and provided services pursuant to the terms of an "Independent Merchandiser Agreement" acknowledging her engagement as an independent contractor. On June 30, 2014, Ms. Clothier filed suit against SBS and the Company styled Case No. RG12 639317, in the Superior Court in Alameda County, California (the "Clothier Case"), in which Ms. Clothier asserted claims on behalf of herself and a putative class of similarly situated merchandisers in California who are or were classified as independent contractors at any time between July 16, 2008, and June 30, 2014. Ms. Clothier alleged that she and other class members were misclassified as independent contractors and that, as a result of this misclassification, the defendants improperly underpaid them in violation of various California minimum wage and overtime laws. The Company was originally a defendant in the Clothier Case but was subsequently dismissed from the action without prejudice. The court ordered that the case be heard in two phases. Phase one was limited to the determination of whether members of the class were misclassified as independent contractors. After hearing evidence, receiving post-trial briefings and considering the issues, the Court issued its Statement of Decision on September 9, 2016, finding that the class members had been misclassified as independent contractors rather than employees. The parties have now moved into phase two to determine damages (if any), which has included discovery as to the measure of damages in this case.

The Parties are still proceeding with the damages phase of the Clothier Case, which trial is currently scheduled for late August of 2018, and with a potential final judgment in the Clothier Case expected by the Company later in 2018 (although it could come sooner). SBS has advised the Company that SBS could appeal the adverse phase one determination and any damage award once damages have been determined, when an appeal is permitted under the court's rules. No determination has been made by the Company (in its discretion) as to whether to reimburse the costs of such an appeal. However, the Company (in its discretion) has determined that it will not advance or reimburse the funds to SBS to post a bond to stay execution during such an appeal by SBS. SBS must post a bond of 1.5 times the damage award in order to stay execution on the judgment during an appeal, and SBS's assets (including those used in providing services to the Company) are subject to legal process (including levy, attachment and sale) if no bond is posted. Action against SBS's assets could have a material adverse effect on SBS's ability to provide services needed by the Company. The Company is evaluating other potential suppliers of Field Specialists as alternatives should SBS be unable to provide some or all of the services needed by the Company.

SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited) (continued)

Currently the Company is not a party to the Clothier Case as the Company was dismissed without prejudice. However, if SBS is not able to meet its obligations under the final judgment, the Company could be drawn back into the Clothier Case. Should this occur, the Plaintiffs will need to further assert and prove that the Company is somehow also liable for damages in the Clothier Case, and the Company is prepared to vigorously challenge that assertion.

Any prolonged continuation of or material increase in the legal defense costs of SBS (and thus the reimbursable expenses SBS may charge to and that may be paid by the Company to the extent reimbursement is approved by the Company in its discretion), the failure of SBS to satisfy any such judgment or similar amount resulting from any adverse legal determination against SBS, any claim by SBS, SAS, any other related party or any third party that the Company is somehow liable for any such judgment or similar amount imposed against SBS or SAS or any other related party, any judicial determination that the Company is somehow liable for any such judgment or similar amount imposed against SBS or SAS or any other related party (in whole or in part), any decrease in SBS's or SAS's performance (quality or otherwise), any inability by SBS or SAS to execute the services for the Company, or any increase in the Company's use of employees (rather than independent contractors) as its domestic Field Specialists, in each case in whole or in part, could have a material adverse effect on the Company or its performance or condition (including its assets, business, clients, capital, cash flow, credit, expenses, financial condition, income, liabilities, liquidity, locations, marketing, operations, prospects, sales, strategies, taxation or other achievement, results or condition), whether actual or as planned, intended, anticipated, estimated or otherwise expected.

***SBS Rodgers Litigation***

Maceo Rodgers was engaged by and provided services to SBS pursuant to the terms of his "Master Agreements" with SBS acknowledging his engagement as an independent contractor. On February 21, 2014, Rodgers filed suit against SBS, Robert G. Brown and William H. Bartels, styled Civil Action No. 3:14-CV-00055, in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas (Galveston Division). Plaintiff asserted claims on behalf of himself and an alleged class of similarly situated individuals who provided services to SBS as independent contractors at any time on or after July 15, 2012, claiming they all were misclassified as independent contractors and that, as a result of this misclassification, the Defendants improperly underpaid them in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act's overtime and minimum wage provisions. Although the Court conditionally certified the class on December 8, 2015, only 61 individuals joined the action as opt-in plaintiffs, and all but 11 of them have potentially disqualifying arbitration provisions, residences outside the class's geographic area, or late opt-in filings, and were challenged by the Defendants in various motions, including a motion to decertify the class. The Court, however, did not rule on these motions and instead stayed the case on September 19, 2017 to allow the parties to mediate. On October 24, 2017, the Court granted the parties' joint motion to extend the stay order until January 31, 2018. A formal mediation was undertaken in this action. However, the mediation was unsuccessful. SBS is now waiting for the Court to rule on (1) Plaintiff's motion for nationwide judicial notice and to certify a nationwide collective action, and (2) SBS's motion to decertify the collective class. It is anticipated that this matter will likely proceed to trial later this year or early next year.

***SBS and SGRP Hogan Litigation***

Paradise Hogan was engaged by and provided services to SBS as an independent contractor pursuant to the terms of an "Independent Contractor Master Agreement" with SBS acknowledging his engagement as an independent contractor. On January 6, 2017, Hogan filed suit against SBS and SGRP (and part of the Company), styled Civil Action No. 1:17-cv-10024-LTS, in the U.S. District Court for District of Massachusetts. Hogan initially asserted claims on behalf of himself and an alleged nationwide class of similarly situated individuals who provided services to SBS and SGRP as independent contractors. Hogan alleged that he and other alleged class members were misclassified as independent contractors, and as a result of this purported misclassification, Hogan asserted claims on behalf of himself and the alleged Massachusetts class members under the Massachusetts Wage Act and Minimum Wage Law for failure to pay overtime and minimum wages, as well as state law claims for breach of contract, unjust enrichment, quantum meruit, and breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing. In addition, Hogan asserted claims on behalf of himself and the nationwide class for violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act's overtime and minimum wage provisions. On March 28, 2017, the Company moved to refer Hogan's claim to arbitration pursuant to his agreement, to dismiss or stay Hogan's case pending arbitration, and to dismiss Hogan's case for failure to state a specific claim upon which relief could be granted.

SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited) (continued)

On November 13, 2017, the Court convened a status conference call with the parties to discuss the impact on the case of the Supreme Court's pending decision in *Epic Systems Corp. v. Lewis*, in which the Supreme Court heard arguments in October 2017 and ultimately will decide whether arbitration clauses that include a waiver of a worker's right to bring or participate in a class action violate the National Labor Relations Act. On March 12, 2018, the Court denied both defendants' Motion to Dismiss for failure to state a claim, denied the Motion to Compel Arbitration as to SGRP, denied the Motion to Stay as to SGRP, and allowed the Motion to Stay as to SBS pending the outcome of the Supreme Court's decision in *Epic Systems*, which (depending on the Supreme Court's ruling) could result in all SBS disputes being sent to arbitration. On April 24, 2018, SGRP filed a notice of appeal with the First Circuit of the District Court's decision. The Parties have agreed to stay the District Court litigation pending the First Circuit's decision on SGRP's appeal. If SGRP's appeal is unsuccessful, SGRP could have to go to trial without SBS, which SGRP will vigorously contest against all parties.

***SBS and SGRP Litigation Generally***

Any prolonged continuation of or material increase in the legal defense costs of SBS (and thus the reimbursable expenses SBS may charge to and that may be paid by the Company to the extent reimbursement is approved by the Company in its discretion) could continue to be (and have from time to time been) significant, and prolonged litigation and appeals and any adverse determination in any such challenge could have a material adverse effect on SBS's ability to provide services needed by the Company and the Company's costs of doing business. There can be no assurance that SBS will be able to satisfy any such judgment or similar amount resulting from any adverse legal determination. In addition, there can be no assurance that SBS or someone else will not claim, and no assurance that SBS will be able to successfully shield any claim, that the Company is liable (under applicable law, through reimbursement or indemnification, or otherwise) for any such judgment or similar amount imposed against SBS. Any decrease in SBS's performance (quality or otherwise), any inability by SBS to use its assets without encumbrance or execute the services for the Company, or any increase in the Company's use of employees (rather than independent contractors) as its domestic Field Specialists, in each case in whole or in part, could have a material adverse effect on the Company or its performance or condition (including its assets, business, clients, capital, cash flow, credit, expenses, financial condition, income, liabilities, liquidity, locations, marketing, operations, prospects, sales, strategies, taxation or other achievement, results or condition), whether actual or as planned, intended, anticipated, estimated or otherwise expected. See Note 5 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements – *Related Party Transactions – Domestic Related Party Services*, above.

**9. Segment Information**

The Company reports net revenues from operating income by reportable segment. Reportable segments are components of the Company for which separate financial information is available that is evaluated on a regular basis by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance.

The Company provides similar merchandising, business technology and marketing services throughout the world, operating within two reportable segments, its Domestic Division and its International Division. The Company uses those divisions to improve its administration and operational and strategic focuses, and it tracks and reports certain financial information separately for each of those divisions. The Company measures the performance of its Domestic and International Divisions and subsidiaries using the same metrics. The primary measurement utilized by management is operating profits, historically the key indicator of long-term growth and profitability, as the Company is focused on reinvesting the operating profits of each of its international subsidiaries back into its local markets in an effort to improve market share and continued expansion efforts.

SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited) (continued)

The accounting policies of each of the reportable segments are the same as those described in the Summary of Significant Accounting Policies. Management evaluates performance as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>	
	<b>March 31,</b>	
	<b>2018</b>	<b>2017</b>
<b>Revenue:</b>		
United States	\$ 18,369	\$ 11,321
International	36,210	28,565
Total revenue	<u>\$ 54,579</u>	<u>\$ 39,886</u>
<b>Operating income (loss):</b>		
United States	\$ (320)	\$ 64
International	1,050	421
Total operating income	<u>\$ 730</u>	<u>\$ 485</u>
<b>Interest expense (income):</b>		
United States	\$ 14	\$ 51
International	185	(97)
Total interest expense (income)	<u>\$ 199</u>	<u>\$ (46)</u>
<b>Other (income), net:</b>		
United States	\$ 30	\$ –
International	(102)	(62)
Total other (income), net	<u>\$ (72)</u>	<u>\$ (62)</u>
<b>Income (loss) before income tax expense:</b>		
United States	\$ (364)	\$ 13
International	967	580
Total income before income tax expense	<u>\$ 603</u>	<u>\$ 593</u>
<b>Income tax (benefit) expense:</b>		
United States	\$ (12)	\$ 249
International	190	170
Total income tax expense	<u>\$ 178</u>	<u>\$ 419</u>
<b>Net income (loss):</b>		
United States	\$ (352)	\$ (236)
International	777	410
Total net income	<u>\$ 425</u>	<u>\$ 174</u>
<b>Depreciation and amortization:</b>		
United States	\$ 382	\$ 340
International	160	165
Total depreciation and amortization	<u>\$ 542</u>	<u>\$ 505</u>
<b>Capital expenditures:</b>		
United States	\$ 635	\$ 266
International	437	94
Total capital expenditures	<u>\$ 1,072</u>	<u>\$ 360</u>

Note: There were no inter-company sales for the three months ended March 31, 2018 or 2017.



SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited) (continued)

	<b>March 31, 2018</b>	<b>December 31, 2017</b>
<b>Assets:</b>		
United States	\$ 26,968	\$ 17,511
International	42,332	40,477
Total assets	<u>\$ 69,300</u>	<u>\$ 57,988</u>

	<b>March 31, 2018</b>	<b>December 31, 2017</b>
<b>Long lived assets:</b>		
United States	\$ 10,881	\$ 7,109
International	3,785	4,057
Total long lived assets	<u>\$ 14,666</u>	<u>\$ 11,166</u>

**Geographic Data** (in thousands)

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>			
	<b>2018</b>		<b>2017</b>	
		% of consolidated net revenue		% of consolidated net revenue
<b>International revenue:</b>				
Brazil	\$ 13,410	24.6%	\$ 9,943	24.9%
South Africa	7,444	13.6	6,027	15.1
Mexico	5,360	9.8	4,688	11.8
China	2,442	4.5	2,408	6.0
India	2,424	4.4	1,612	4.0
Japan	2,247	4.1	1,709	4.3
Canada	1,934	3.5	1,303	3.3
Australia	886	1.6	810	2.0
Turkey	63	0.1	65	0.2
Total international revenue	<u>\$ 36,210</u>	<u>66.2%</u>	<u>\$ 28,565</u>	<u>71.6%</u>

SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited) (continued)

**10. Purchase of Interests in Subsidiaries**

***Resource Plus Acquisition***

On January 9, 2018, the Company completed its acquisition of a 51% interest (the "Acquisition") in Resource Plus, Inc. ("RPI"), a supplier of professional fixture installation and product merchandising services; and a 51% interest in both of its sister companies, Mobex of North Florida, Inc. ("Mobex"), a proprietary retail fixture mobilization system manufacturer, and LeaseX, LLC ("LeaseX"), a company formed to lease Mobex's proprietary equipment. RPI owns a 70% interest in BDA Resource, LLC, a Florida limited liability company ("BDA"), and RPI, LeaseX, Mobex and BDA may be referred to individually and collectively as "Resource Plus".

SGRP's subsidiary, SPAR Marketing Force, Inc. ("SMF"), purchased those equity interests in Resource Plus from Joseph L. Paulk and Richard Justus pursuant to separate Stock Purchase Agreements each dated as of October 13, 2017 (each a "SPA"), which were subject to due diligence and completion of definitive documents. The base purchase prices under the SPAs for those Resource Plus equity interests were \$3,000,000 for Mr. Paulk and \$150,000 for Mr. Justus, subject to adjustment and potential bonuses as provided in their respective SPAs. At the closing on January 9, 2018, Mr. Paulk received the base purchase price in \$400,000 cash and a Promissory Note for \$2,600,000; and Mr. Justus received the base purchase price in \$50,000 cash and a Promissory Note for \$100,000. Those notes were issued by SMF, guaranteed by SGRP pursuant to separate Guaranties, and secured by SMF pursuant to separate Securities Pledge and Escrow Agreements to the sellers of the respective acquired equity interests, with each of those documents dated and effective as of January 1, 2018. Mr. Paulk's note is repayable in installments of \$300,000, plus applicable interest, per year on December 31 of each year (commencing in 2018), with the balance due on December 31, 2023; and Mr. Justus's note on December 31 of each such year (commencing in 2018) is repayable in installments of \$33,333 per year, plus applicable interest, on December 31 of each year, with the balance of \$33,334 due on December 31, 2020. The Promissory Notes bear interest at 1.85%. As part of purchase accounting, the Company recorded a fair value adjustment of \$400,000 to reflect market interest rates of approximately 5.0%.

In connection with that closing, Mr. Paulk retired, while Mr. Justus continued as President of Resource Plus and received an Executive Officer Employment Terms and Severance Agreement with RPI ("ETSA"), with a base salary of \$200,000 per year (plus an incentive bonus), and a term of office and severance protection through January 1, 2020, subject to annual extensions in the discretion of the parties.

This acquisition will be accounted for using the purchase method of accounting with the purchase price allocated to the assets purchased and liabilities assumed based upon their estimated fair values at the date of acquisition.

A summary of preliminary purchase price consideration to be allocated by SGRP in the acquisition of RPI is provided below:

Cash consideration	\$	456
Notes payable		2,300
Total consideration paid	\$	<u>2,756</u>

The preliminary estimated assets acquired and liabilities assumed by SGRP are provided below:

Cash and cash equivalents	\$	1,223
Accounts receivable		2,699
Accounts payable		(255)
Property and equipment		155
Prepaid assets		86
Marketable securities		20
Other assets		50
Accrued expenses		(1,389)
Revolving line of credit		(865)
Other intangible assets		2,720
Residual goodwill		<u>1,335</u>
Estimated fair value of assets acquired		5,779
Non-controlling interest		<u>(3,023)</u>
Consideration paid for acquisition	\$	<u>2,756</u>

The following table contains unaudited pro forma revenue and net income for SPAR Group, Inc. assuming SPAR Resource Plus closed on January 1, 2017 (in thousands):

	Revenue	Net Loss
Consolidated supplemental pro forma for the three month period ended March 31, 2017	\$ 43,659	\$ (249)

The pro forma in the table above includes adjustments for, amortization of intangible assets and acquisition costs to reflect results that are more representative of the results of the transactions as if the Resource Plus acquisition closed on January 1, 2017. This pro forma information utilizes certain estimates, is presented for illustrative purposes only and may not be indicative of the results of operation that would have actually occurred. In addition, future results may vary significantly from the results reflected in the pro forma information. The unaudited pro forma financial information does not reflect the impact of future events that may occur after the acquisition, such as anticipated cost savings from operating synergies. For the three month period ended March 31, 2018, Resource Plus contributed \$4.7 million to the Company's total revenue and reduced net income for the same period by \$58,000.

## 11. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

### *New Accounting Pronouncements*

In February 2018, the FASB issued ASU No. 2018-02, Income Statement-Reporting Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reclassification of Certain Tax Effects from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (“AOCI”). The accounting standard allows for the optional reclassification of stranded tax effects within accumulated other comprehensive income to retained earnings that arise due to the enactment of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 (the “Tax Act”). The amount of the reclassification would reflect the effect of the change in the U.S. federal corporate income tax rate on the gross deferred tax amounts and related valuation allowances, if any, at the date of enactment of the Tax Act and other income tax effects of the Tax Act on items remaining in accumulated other comprehensive income. The standard will be effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within that reporting period with early adoption permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of its pending adoption of the new standard on its consolidated financial statements.

In January 2017, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update 2017-04 (ASU 2017-04), Intangibles-Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment. With ASU 2017-04, an entity will no longer determine goodwill impairment by calculating the implied fair value of goodwill by assigning the fair value of a reporting unit to all of its assets and liabilities as if that reporting unit had been acquired in a business combination. Instead, if the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value an impairment loss shall be recognized in an amount equal to that excess, limited to the total amount of goodwill allocated to the reporting unit. ASU 2017-04 is effective for annual or any interim goodwill impairment tests in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of ASU 2017-04 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited) (continued)

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13 amending how entities will measure credit losses for most financial assets and certain other instruments that are not measured at fair value through net income. The guidance requires the application of a current expected credit loss model which is a new impairment model based on expected losses. Under this model, an entity recognizes an allowance for expected credit losses based on historical experience, current conditions and forecasted information rather than the current methodology of delaying recognition of credit losses until it is probable a loss has been incurred. This ASU is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019 with early adoption permitted for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the new guidance on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02 amending the existing accounting standards for lease accounting and requiring lessees to recognize a right of use asset and liability for all leases with lease terms of more than 12 months, including those classified as operating leases. Both the asset and liability will initially be measured at the present value of the future minimum lease payments, with the asset being subject to adjustments such as initial direct costs. Consistent with current GAAP, the presentation of expenses and cash flows will depend primarily on the classification of the lease as either a finance or an operating lease. The new standard also requires additional quantitative and qualitative disclosures regarding the amount, timing and uncertainty of cash flows arising from leases in order to provide additional information about the nature of an organization's leasing activities. This ASU is effective for annual periods, and interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2018 and requires modified retrospective application. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the new guidance on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures. Upon adoption, the Company expects the amount recognized for the right of use assets and liabilities to be material to the consolidated financial statements.

SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
(unaudited) (continued)

**12. Capital Lease Obligations**

The Company has two outstanding capital lease obligations with interest rates as follows. The related capital lease asset balances are detailed below (in thousands):

	Start Date:	Interest Rate	Original Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Net Book Value at March 31, 2018
January 2017		5.8%	\$ 76	\$ 31	\$ 45
August 2017		6.4%	\$ 147	\$ 33	\$ 114

Annual future minimum lease payments required under the leases, together with the present value as of March 31, 2018, are as follows (in thousands):

Year Ending December 31,	Amount
2018	\$ 61
2019	82
2020	31
Total	174
Less amount representing interest	13
Present value of net minimum lease payments included in accrued expenses and other current liabilities, and long term debt	\$ 161

**13. Subsequent Events**

***SAS Termination***

On May 7, 2018, the Company gave a termination notice to SAS specifying July 31, 2018, as the end of the Service Term under (and as defined in) SAS Agreement. Although the Company may bring certain Field Administrators in-house from time to time, the Company is negotiating and anticipates reaching a non-exclusive agreement with an independent third party vendor to provide substantially all of the domestic Field Administrators used by the Company. The Company expects a smooth and seamless transition to such new vendor and its Field Administrators that will provide the Company with continuity of great execution and be virtually unnoticeable to the Company's clients.

***Approval of 2018 Stock Compensation Plan***

In connection with the 2018 Annual Meeting, the Board, based (in part) on the recommendation of its Compensation Committee, approved the modification of the proposed SPAR Group, Inc. 2018 Stock Compensation Plan to remove all adjustments for prior plans, continuing awards and share recycling, which the Board determined was within its authority and not materially adverse to the interest of the Corporations existing stockholders. The SPAR Group, Inc. 2018 Stock Compensation Plan (including the above changes) was approved by the stockholders on May 2, 2018.

The 2018 Plan and information regarding options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock and restricted stock units granted thereunder are summarized below. The 2018 Plan is substantially similar to the 2008 Plan except for its one year initial term and resetting the maximum award shares available to 600,000 under the 2018 Plan. The 2008 Plan terminates upon the adoption of the 2018 Plan (but not later than May 28, 2018), and thereafter no further Awards may be made under the 2008 Plan. Awards granted prior to the end the term of the 2008 Plan shall continue to be governed by the 2008 Plan (which 2008 Plan shall continue in full force and effect for that purpose).

The 2018 Plan has an initial term that ends on May 31, 2019, and no Award may be granted thereafter under this Plan, unless an extension or elimination of such initial term Plan is approved by stockholders of the Corporation if and as required pursuant to the 2018 Plan. In any event, no Award may be granted under the 2018 Plan on or after the tenth (10th) anniversary of the Effective Date of the 2018 Plan unless an extension of the term of the 2018 Plan is approved by stockholders of the Corporation if and as required pursuant to the 2018 Plan and Applicable Law. Awards granted prior to the end the term of the 2018 Plan shall continue to be governed by the 2018 Plan (which 2018 Plan shall continue in full force and effect for that purpose).

The 2018 Plan resets and limit the maximum number of shares of Common Stock that may be issued pursuant to Awards made under the plan to 600,000 shares (the "2018 Plan Maximum").

The 2018 Plan will permit the granting of Awards consisting of options to purchase shares of Common Stock ("Options"), stock appreciation rights ("SARs"), restricted stock ("Restricted Stock"), and restricted stock units ("RSUs"). The 2018 Plan permits the granting of both Options that qualify under Section 422 of the United States Internal Revenue Code of 1986 as amended (the "Code") for treatment as incentive stock options ("Incentive Stock Options" or "ISOs") and Options that do not qualify under the Code as Incentive Stock Options ("Nonqualified Stock Options" or "NQSOs"). ISOs may only be granted to employees of the Corporation or its subsidiaries.

The shares of Common Stock that may be issued pursuant to the Options, SARs, Restricted Stock and RSUs under the 2018 Plan are all subject to the 2018 Plan Maximum.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Forward-Looking Statements

*This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (this "Quarterly Report") contains "forward-looking statements" within the "safe harbor" provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, made by, or respecting, SPAR Group, Inc. ("SGRP") and its subsidiaries (together with SGRP, the "SPAR Group" or the "Company"), and this Quarterly Report has been filed by SGRP with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). There also are "forward-looking statements" contained in SGRP's Annual Report on Form 10-K for its fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 (as filed, the "Annual Report"), as filed with the SEC on April 2, 2018, in SGRP's definitive Proxy Statement respecting its Annual Meeting of Stockholders held on May 2, 2018 (the "Proxy Statement"), which SGRP filed with the SEC on April 18, 2018, and SGRP's Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and other reports and statements as and when filed with the SEC (including this Quarterly Report, the Annual Report and the Proxy Statement, each a "SEC Report"). "Forward-looking statements" are defined in Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and other applicable federal and state securities laws, rules and regulations, as amended (together with the Securities Act and Exchange Act, the "Securities Laws").*

*All statements (other than those that are purely historical) are forward-looking statements. Words such as "may," "will," "expect," "intend," "believe," "estimate," "anticipate," "continue," "plan," "project," or the negative of these terms or other similar expressions also identify forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements made by the Company in this Quarterly Report or the Annual Report may include (without limitation) statements regarding: risks, uncertainties, cautions, circumstances and other factors ("Risks"); and plans, intentions, expectations, guidance or other information respecting the pursuit or achievement of the Company's five corporate objectives (growth, customer value, employee development, greater productivity & efficiency, and increased earnings per share), building upon the Company's strong foundation, leveraging compatible global opportunities, growing the Company's client base and contracts, continuing to strengthen its balance sheet, growing revenues and improving profitability through organic growth, new business development and strategic acquisitions, and continuing to control costs. The Company's forward-looking statements also include (without limitation) those made in the Annual Report in "Business", "Risk Factors", "Legal Proceedings", "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations", "Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance", "Executive Compensation", "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters", and "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence".*

*You should carefully review and consider the Company's forward-looking statements (including all risk factors and other cautions and uncertainties) and other information made, contained or noted in or incorporated by reference into this Quarterly Report, the Annual Report, the Proxy Statement and the other applicable SEC Reports, but you should not place undue reliance on any of them. The results, actions, levels of activity, performance, achievements or condition of the Company (including its affiliates, assets, business, clients, capital, cash flow, credit, expenses, financial condition, income, liabilities, liquidity, locations, marketing, operations, performance, prospects, sales, strategies, taxation or other achievement, results, risks, trends or condition) and other events and circumstances planned, intended, anticipated, estimated or otherwise expected by the Company (collectively, "Expectations"), and our forward-looking statements (including all Risks) and other information reflect the Company's current views about future events and circumstances. Although the Company believes those Expectations and views are reasonable, the results, actions, levels of activity, performance, achievements or condition of the Company or other events and circumstances may differ materially from our Expectations and views, and they cannot be assured or guaranteed by the Company, since they are subject to Risks and other assumptions, changes in circumstances and unpredictable events (many of which are beyond the Company's control). In addition, new Risks arise from time to time, and it is impossible for the Company to predict these matters or how they may arise or affect the Company. Accordingly, the Company cannot assure you that its Expectations will be achieved in whole or in part, that it has identified all potential Risks, or that it can successfully avoid or mitigate such Risks in whole or in part, any of which could be significant and materially adverse to the Company and the value of your investment in the Company's Common Stock.*

*These forward-looking statements reflect the Company's Expectations, views, Risks and assumptions only as of the date of this Quarterly Report, and the Company does not intend, assume any obligation, or promise to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements (including any Risks or Expectations) or other information (in whole or in part), whether as a result of new information, new or worsening Risks or uncertainties, changed circumstances, future events, recognition, or otherwise.*

## GENERAL

The Company is a diversified international merchandising, business technology and marketing services company and provides a broad array of services worldwide to help companies improve their sales, operating efficiency and profits at retail locations. The Company provides its merchandising and other marketing services to manufacturers, distributors and retailers worldwide, primarily in mass merchandise, office supply, value, grocery, drug, independent, convenience, home improvement and electronics stores. The Company also provides furniture and other product assembly services in stores, homes and offices. The Company has supplied these services in the United States since certain of its predecessors were formed in 1979 and internationally since the Company acquired its first international subsidiary in Japan in May of 2001. The Company currently does business in 10 countries that encompass approximately 50% of the total world population through its operations in the United States, Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, India, Japan, Mexico, South Africa, and Turkey.

Merchandising services primarily consist of regularly scheduled, special project and other product services provided at store level, and the Company may be engaged by either the retailer or the manufacturer. Those services may include restocking and adding new products, removing spoiled or outdated products, resetting categories "on the shelf" in accordance with client or store schematics, confirming and replacing shelf tags, setting new sale or promotional product displays and advertising, replenishing kiosks, demonstrating or promoting a product, providing on-site audit and in-store event staffing services and providing product assembly services in stores, homes and offices. Other merchandising services include whole store or departmental product sets or resets, including new store openings, new product launches and in-store demonstrations, special seasonal or promotional merchandising, focused product support and product recalls. The Company continues to seek to expand its merchandising, assembly and marketing services business throughout the world.

Summaries of our business and domestic and international business are set forth below. Please see Item 1 of the Annual Report for a more detailed description of the Company's Business, and the following parts of the Proxy Statement (which were incorporated by reference into the Annual Report): (i) Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management, (ii) Corporate Governance, (iii) Executive Compensation, Directors and Other Information and (iv) Executive Compensation, Equity Awards and Options. Please also see, review and give particular attention, to the Risk Factors in Item 1A of the Annual Report (including, without limitation, *Dependence Upon and Cost of Services Provided by Affiliates and Use of Independent Contractors*, *Potential Conflicts in Services Provided by Affiliates*, *Risks Related to the Company's Significant Stockholders: Potential Voting Control and Conflicts*, and *Risks of a Nasdaq Delisting and Penny Stock Trading*), to Note 8 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements – *Commitments and Contingencies - Legal Matters*, above, and to Note 5 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements – *Related Party Transactions – Domestic Related Party Services*, above.

**SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries**

**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

**Three months ended March 31, 2018, compared to three months ended March 31, 2017**

The following table sets forth selected financial data and data as a percentage of net revenues for the periods indicated (in thousands, except percent data).

	<b>Three Months Ended March 31,</b>			
	<b>2018</b>		<b>2017</b>	
	\$	%	\$	%
Net revenues	\$ 54,579	100.0%	\$ 39,886	100.0%
Cost of revenues	44,849	82.2	31,838	79.8
Gross profit	9,730	17.8	8,048	20.2
Selling, general & administrative expense	8,458	15.5	7,058	17.7
Depreciation & amortization	542	1.0	505	1.3
Operating income	730	1.3	485	1.2
Interest expense, net	199	0.4	(46)	(0.1)
Other (income), net	(72)	(0.1)	(62)	(0.2)
Income before income taxes	603	1.0	593	1.5
Income tax expense	178	0.3	419	1.1
Net income	425	0.7	174	0.4
Net income attributable to non-controlling interest	(301)	(0.6)	(418)	(1.0)
Net income (loss) attributable to SPAR Group, Inc.	\$ 124	0.1%	\$ (244)	(0.6%)

**Net Revenues**

Net revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2018, were \$54.6 million, compared to \$39.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2017, an increase of \$14.7 million or 36.8%. The increase in net revenue is primarily attributable to the acquisition of our Brazil subsidiary, which contributed \$3.5 million. In addition, the remainder of our international segment increased \$4.1 million, and our domestic segment increased \$7.1 million.

Domestic net revenues totaled \$18.4 million in the three months ended March 31, 2018, compared to \$11.3 million for the same period in 2017, an increase of 62.3%. The increase in domestic net revenues was due to the Resource Plus acquisition, which contributed \$4.7 million and increased project work year over year.

International net revenues totaled \$36.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2018, compared to \$28.6 million for the same period in 2017, an increase of \$7.6 million or 26.8%. The increase in international net revenues was primarily due to the September 2016 acquisition of our Brazilian operation, which added \$3.5 million and increase in South Africa of \$1.4 million, and China of \$800,000.

**Cost of Revenues**

The Company's cost of revenues consists of its on-site labor and field administration fees, travel and other direct labor-related expenses and was 82.2% of its net revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2018, and 79.8% of its net revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2017.

Domestic cost of revenues was 76.7% of net revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2018, and 72.1% of net revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2017. The increase in cost of revenues as a percentage of net revenues of 4.6 percentage points was due primarily to continued price pressure and an unfavorable mix of project work compared to the same period last year. For the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, approximately 56% and 84%, respectively, of the Company's domestic cost of revenues resulted from in-store merchandiser specialist, on-site assembly technician and field administration services, purchased from certain of the Company's affiliates, SBS, and SAS, respectively. (See Note 5 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements - *Related-Party Transactions*.)



## SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Internationally, the cost of revenues increased to 85.0% of net revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2018, compared to 82.9% of net revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2017. The cost of revenue increase of 2.1 percentage points was primarily due to a mix of higher cost margin business in Brazil, China and Mexico.

### **Selling, General and Administrative Expenses**

Selling, general and administrative expenses of the Company include its corporate overhead, project management, information technology, executive compensation, human resources, legal and accounting expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses were approximately \$8.5 million and \$7.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Domestic selling, general and administrative expenses totaled \$4.2 million and \$2.8 million for the three month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively. The increase of approximately \$1.4 million was primarily attributable to the Resource Plus acquisition.

International selling, general and administrative expenses totaled \$4.3 million for both the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017.

### **Depreciation and Amortization**

Depreciation and amortization charges totaled \$542,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2018, and \$505,000 for the same period in 2017.

### **Interest Expense (Income)**

The Company's net interest expense was \$199,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2018, compared to net interest income of \$(46,000) for the three months ended March 31, 2017. The change is due primarily to increased domestic average borrowing and interest rate.

### **Other Income**

Other income totaled \$72,000 for the three month period ended March 31, 2018, compared to \$62,000 for the same period last year.

### **Income Taxes**

Income tax expense was \$178,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2018, compared to \$419,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2017. The change is due primarily to the late 2017 change in the Tax Code effectively lowering the effective tax rates for 2018.

### **Non-controlling Interest**

Net operating profits from the non-controlling interest, from the Company's 51% owned subsidiaries, resulted in a reduction of net income attributable to SPAR Group, Inc. of \$301,000 and \$418,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

### **Net Income (Loss)**

The Company reported net income of \$124,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2018, or \$0.01 per diluted share, compared to a net loss of \$(244,000), or \$(0.01) per diluted share, for the corresponding period last year. The change is due primarily to increase in international operations.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

In the three months ended March 31, 2018, the Company had a net income before non-controlling interest of \$425,000.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$617,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2018, compared to net cash provided of \$6.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2017, respectively. The net cash used in operating activities during the three months ended March 31, 2018, was primarily due to an increase in accounts receivable partially offset by increases in accrued expenses and other current liabilities.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$280,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2018, compared to net cash used in investing activities of \$284,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2017. The net cash provided by investing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2018, was due to cash acquired from the Resource Plus subsidiary, net cash outlays, offset by fixed asset additions, primarily capitalized software.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2018, was approximately \$771,000, compared to \$5.3 million used in financing activities for the three months ended March 31, 2017. Net cash provided by financing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2018, was primarily due to net proceeds from lines of credit, net of a distribution to non-controlling local investors in South Africa.

The above activity and the impact of foreign exchange rate changes resulted in an increase in cash and cash equivalents for the three months ended March 31, 2018 of approximately \$35,000.

At March 31, 2018, the Company had net working capital of \$22.8 million, as compared to net working capital of \$14.5 million at December 31, 2017. The Company's current ratio was 1.7 and 1.4 at March 31, 2018, and December 31, 2017, respectively. The increase in net working capital was primarily due to the reclassification of the Company's domestic debt from short-term to long-term.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

The Company is a smaller reporting company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act and is not required to provide the information required under this item.

### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

#### Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the registrant, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Management has designed such internal control over financial reporting by the Company to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of consolidated financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America.

The Company's management has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting using the "Internal Control – Integrated Framework (2013)" created by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO") framework. Based on this evaluation, management has concluded that internal controls over financial reporting were effective as of March 31, 2018.

#### Management's Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer have each reviewed and evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this report, as required by Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(b) and Rule 15d-15(b). Based on that evaluation, the chief executive officer and chief financial officer have each concluded that the Company's current disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports it files, or submits under the Exchange Act were recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

#### Changes in Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's first quarter of its 2018 fiscal year that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting.

## PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The Company is a party to various legal actions and administrative proceedings arising in the normal course of business. In the opinion of Company's management, disposition of these matters are not anticipated to have a material adverse effect on the Company or its estimated or desired affiliates, assets, business, clients, capital, cash flow, credit, expenses, financial condition, income, liabilities, liquidity, locations, marketing, operations, prospects, sales, strategies, taxation or other achievement, results or condition.

The Company's merchandising, audit, assembly and other services for its domestic clients are performed by field merchandising, auditing, assembly and other field personnel (each a "Field Specialist"). The Company's affiliate, SPAR Business Services, Inc. ("SBS"), during 2017 provided approximately 10,700 Field Specialists (all of whom were engaged as independent contractors by SBS), representing 77% (or \$25.9 million) of the total cost the Field Specialists utilized by the Company domestically. SBS is not a subsidiary or in any way under the control of SGRP, SBS is not consolidated in the Company's financial statements, and SGRP does not participate in or control the defense by SBS of any litigation against it. For contractual details and payment amounts, see Note 5 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements - *Related Party Transactions - Domestic Related Party Services*.

The appropriateness of SBS's treatment of its Field Specialists as independent contractors has been periodically subject to legal challenge (both currently and historically) by various states and others. SBS's expenses of defending those challenges and other proceedings have historically been reimbursed by the Company under SBS's Prior Agreement, and SBS's expenses of defending those challenges and other proceedings were reimbursed by the Company in the three month periods ending March 31, 2018 and 2017 (in the amounts of \$60,000 and \$85,000, respectively), after determination (on a case by case basis) that those defense expenses were costs of providing services to the Company.

The Company has advised SBS that, since there is no currently effective comprehensive written services agreement with SBS, the Company will continue to review and decide each request by SBS for reimbursement of its legal defense expenses (including appeals) on a case-by-case basis in its discretion, including the relative costs and benefits to the Company. SBS has disputed the Company's right to review and decide the appropriateness of the reimbursement of any of those defense (and various other) expenses.

As provided in SBS's Prior Agreement, the Company is not obligated or liable, and the Company has not otherwise agreed and does not currently intend, to reimburse SBS for any judgment or similar amount (including any damages, settlement, or related tax, penalty, or interest) in any legal challenge or other proceeding against or involving SBS, and the Company does not believe it has ever done so (other than in insignificant nuisance amounts).

Any prolonged continuation of or material increase in the legal defense costs of SBS (and thus the reimbursable expenses SBS may charge to and that may be paid by the Company to the extent reimbursement is approved by the Company in its discretion) could continue to be (and have from time to time been) significant, and prolonged litigation and appeals and any adverse determination in any such challenge could have a material adverse effect on SBS's ability to provide services needed by the Company and the Company's costs of doing business.

There can be no assurance that SBS will be able to satisfy any such judgment or similar amount resulting from any adverse legal determination. In addition, there can be no assurance that SBS or someone else will not claim, and no assurance that SBS will be able to successfully shield any claim, that the Company is liable (under applicable law, through reimbursement or indemnification, or otherwise) for any such judgment or similar amount imposed against SBS. Any decrease in SBS's performance (quality or otherwise), any inability by SBS to use its assets without encumbrance or execute the services for the Company, or any increase in the Company's use of employees (rather than independent contractors) as its domestic Field Specialists, in each case in whole or in part, could have a material adverse effect on the Company or its performance or condition (including its assets, business, clients, capital, cash flow, credit, expenses, financial condition, income, liabilities, liquidity, locations, marketing, operations, prospects, sales, strategies, taxation or other achievement, results or condition), whether actual or as planned, intended, anticipated, estimated or otherwise expected.

## SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries

As the Company utilized the services of SBS to support its in-store merchandising needs in California, management of the Company determined, with the support of SGRP's Audit Committee and Board of Directors, that it will be shifting to an all employee servicing model for its Field Specialists in May of 2018 to support the performance of its services in California for clients in this critical market and nationally for certain domestic clients that are requiring the Company to use employees as its Field Specialists. Management currently estimates that the potential incremental annual cost of this change in California from independent contractors to Company employees is between approximately \$250,000 and \$350,000. The Company is also evaluating whether this all employee model for its Field Specialists should be used in other states.

Current material and potentially material proceedings against SBS and, in one instance, the Company are described below. These descriptions are based on an independent review by the Company and do not reflect the views of SBS, its management or its counsel.

### ***SBS Clothier Litigation***

Melissa Clothier was engaged by SBS (then known as SPAR Marketing Services, Inc.) and provided services pursuant to the terms of an "Independent Merchandiser Agreement" acknowledging her engagement as an independent contractor. On June 30, 2014, Ms. Clothier filed suit against SBS and the Company styled Case No. RG12 639317, in the Superior Court in Alameda County, California (the "Clothier Case"), in which Ms. Clothier asserted claims on behalf of herself and a putative class of similarly situated merchandisers in California who are or were classified as independent contractors at any time between July 16, 2008, and June 30, 2014. Ms. Clothier alleged that she and other class members were misclassified as independent contractors and that, as a result of this misclassification, the defendants improperly underpaid them in violation of various California minimum wage and overtime laws. The Company was originally a defendant in the Clothier Case but was subsequently dismissed from the action without prejudice. The court ordered that the case be heard in two phases. Phase one was limited to the determination of whether members of the class were misclassified as independent contractors. After hearing evidence, receiving post-trial briefings and considering the issues, the Court issued its Statement of Decision on September 9, 2016, finding that the class members had been misclassified as independent contractors rather than employees. The parties have now moved into phase two to determine damages (if any), which has included discovery as to the measure of damages in this case.

The Parties are still proceeding with the damages phase of the Clothier Case, which trial is currently scheduled for late August of 2018, and with a potential final judgment in the Clothier Case expected by the Company later in 2018 (although it could come sooner). SBS has advised the Company that SBS could appeal the adverse phase one determination and any damage award once damages have been determined, when an appeal is permitted under the court's rules. No determination has been made by the Company (in its discretion) as to whether to reimburse the costs of such an appeal. However, the Company (in its discretion) has determined that it will not advance or reimburse the funds to SBS to post a bond to stay execution during such an appeal by SBS. SBS must post a bond of 1.5 times the damage award in order to stay execution on the judgment during an appeal, and SBS's assets (including those used in providing services to the Company) are subject to legal process (including levy, attachment and sale) if no bond is posted. Action against SBS's assets could have a material adverse effect on SBS's ability to provide services needed by the Company. The Company is evaluating other potential suppliers of Field Specialists as alternatives should SBS be unable to provide some or all of the services needed by the Company.

Currently the Company is not a party to the Clothier Case as the Company was dismissed without prejudice. However, if SBS is not able to meet its obligations under the final judgment, the Company could be drawn back into the Clothier Case. Should this occur, the Plaintiffs will need to further assert and prove that the Company is somehow also liable for damages in the Clothier Case, and the Company is prepared to vigorously challenge that assertion.

Any prolonged continuation of or material increase in the legal defense costs of SBS (and thus the reimbursable expenses SBS may charge to and that may be paid by the Company to the extent reimbursement is approved by the Company in its discretion), the failure of SBS to satisfy any such judgment or similar amount resulting from any adverse legal determination against SBS, any claim by SBS, SAS, any other related party or any third party that the Company is somehow liable for any such judgment or similar amount imposed against SBS or SAS or any other related party, any judicial determination that the Company is somehow liable for any such judgment or similar amount imposed against SBS or SAS or any other related party (in whole or in part), any decrease in SBS's or SAS's performance (quality or otherwise), any inability by SBS or SAS to execute the services for the Company, or any increase in the Company's use of employees (rather than independent contractors) as its domestic Field Specialists, in each case in whole or in part, could have a material adverse effect on the Company or its performance or condition (including its assets, business, clients, capital, cash flow, credit, expenses, financial condition, income, liabilities, liquidity, locations, marketing, operations, prospects, sales, strategies, taxation or other achievement, results or condition), whether actual or as planned, intended, anticipated, estimated or otherwise expected.

***SBS Rodgers Litigation***

Maceo Rodgers was engaged by and provided services to SBS pursuant to the terms of his "Master Agreements" with SBS acknowledging his engagement as an independent contractor. On February 21, 2014, Rodgers filed suit against SBS, Robert G. Brown and William H. Bartels, styled Civil Action No. 3:14-CV-00055, in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Texas (Galveston Division). Plaintiff asserted claims on behalf of himself and an alleged class of similarly situated individuals who provided services to SBS as independent contractors at any time on or after July 15, 2012, claiming they all were misclassified as independent contractors and that, as a result of this misclassification, the Defendants improperly underpaid them in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act's overtime and minimum wage provisions. Although the Court conditionally certified the class on December 8, 2015, only 61 individuals joined the action as opt-in plaintiffs, and all but 11 of them have potentially disqualifying arbitration provisions, residences outside the class's geographic area, or late opt-in filings, and were challenged by the Defendants in various motions, including a motion to decertify the class. The Court, however, did not rule on these motions and instead stayed the case on September 19, 2017 to allow the parties to mediate. On October 24, 2017, the Court granted the parties' joint motion to extend the stay order until January 31, 2018. A formal mediation was undertaken in this action. However, the mediation was unsuccessful. SBS is now waiting for the Court to rule on (1) Plaintiff's motion for nationwide judicial notice and to certify a nationwide collective action, and (2) SBS's motion to decertify the collective class. It is anticipated that this matter will likely proceed to trial later this year or early next year.

***SBS and SGRP Hogan Litigation***

Paradise Hogan was engaged by and provided services to SBS as an independent contractor pursuant to the terms of an "Independent Contractor Master Agreement" with SBS acknowledging his engagement as an independent contractor. On January 6, 2017, Hogan filed suit against SBS and SGRP (and part of the Company), styled Civil Action No. 1:17-cv-10024-LTS, in the U.S. District Court for District of Massachusetts. Hogan initially asserted claims on behalf of himself and an alleged nationwide class of similarly situated individuals who provided services to SBS and SGRP as independent contractors. Hogan alleged that he and other alleged class members were misclassified as independent contractors, and as a result of this purported misclassification, Hogan asserted claims on behalf of himself and the alleged Massachusetts class members under the Massachusetts Wage Act and Minimum Wage Law for failure to pay overtime and minimum wages, as well as state law claims for breach of contract, unjust enrichment, quantum meruit, and breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing. In addition, Hogan asserted claims on behalf of himself and the nationwide class for violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act's overtime and minimum wage provisions. On March 28, 2017, the Company moved to refer Hogan's claim to arbitration pursuant to his agreement, to dismiss or stay Hogan's case pending arbitration, and to dismiss Hogan's case for failure to state a specific claim upon which relief could be granted.

On November 13, 2017, the Court convened a status conference call with the parties to discuss the impact on the case of the Supreme Court's pending decision in *Epic Systems Corp. v. Lewis*, in which the Supreme Court heard arguments in October 2017 and ultimately will decide whether arbitration clauses that include a waiver of a worker's right to bring or participate in a class action violate the National Labor Relations Act. On March 12, 2018, the Court denied both defendants' Motion to Dismiss for failure to state a claim, denied the Motion to Compel Arbitration as to SGRP, denied the Motion to Stay as to SGRP, and allowed the Motion to Stay as to SBS pending the outcome of the Supreme Court's decision in *Epic Systems*, which (depending on the Supreme Court's ruling) could result in all SBS disputes being sent to arbitration. On April 24, 2018, SGRP filed a notice of appeal with the First Circuit of the District Court's decision. The Parties have agreed to stay the District Court litigation pending the First Circuit's decision on SGRP's appeal. If SGRP's appeal is unsuccessful, SGRP could have to go to trial without SBS, which SGRP will vigorously contest against all parties.

***SBS and SGRP Litigation Generally***

Any prolonged continuation of or material increase in the legal defense costs of SBS (and thus the reimbursable expenses SBS may charge to and that may be paid by the Company to the extent reimbursement is approved by the Company in its discretion) could continue to be (and have from time to time been) significant, and prolonged litigation and appeals and any adverse determination in any such challenge could have a material adverse effect on SBS's ability to provide services needed by the Company and the Company's costs of doing business. There can be no assurance that SBS will be able to satisfy any such judgment or similar amount resulting from any adverse legal determination. In addition, there can be no assurance that SBS or someone else will not claim, and no assurance that SBS will be able to successfully shield any claim, that the Company is liable (under applicable law, through reimbursement or indemnification, or otherwise) for any such judgment or similar amount imposed against SBS. Any decrease in SBS's performance (quality or otherwise), any inability by SBS to use its assets without encumbrance or execute the services for the Company, or any increase in the Company's use of employees (rather than independent contractors) as its domestic Field Specialists, in each case in whole or in part, could have a material adverse effect on the Company or its performance or condition (including its assets, business, clients, capital, cash flow, credit, expenses, financial condition, income, liabilities, liquidity, locations, marketing, operations, prospects, sales, strategies, taxation or other achievement, results or condition), whether actual or as planned, intended, anticipated, estimated or otherwise expected. See Note 8 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements – *Commitments and Contingencies - Legal Matters*, and Note 5 to the Company's Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements – *Related Party Transactions – Domestic Related Party Services*.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

***Existing Risk Factors***

Various risk factors applicable to the Company and its businesses are described in Item 1A under the caption "Risk Factors" in the 2017 Annual Report, which Risk Factors are incorporated by reference into this Quarterly Report. There have been no material changes in the Company's risk factors since the 2017 Annual Report. You should review and give attention to all of those Risk Factors, including (without limitation) *Dependence Upon and Cost of Services Provided by Affiliates and Use of Independent Contractors*, *Potential Conflicts in Services Provided by Affiliates*, *Risks Related to the Company's Significant Stockholders: Potential Voting Control and Conflicts*, and *Risks of a Nasdaq Delisting and Penny Stock Trading*.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

Not applicable.

**Item 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities**

Not applicable.

**Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures**

Not applicable.

**Item 5. Other Information**

Not applicable.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

31.1 [Certification of the CEO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as filed herewith.](#)

31.2 [Certification of the CFO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as filed herewith.](#)

32.1 [Certification of the CEO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as filed herewith.](#)

32.2 [Certification of the CFO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, as filed herewith.](#)

101.INS XBRL Instance

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Labels

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation

**SPAR Group, Inc. and Subsidiaries**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: May 15, 2018

SPAR Group, Inc., Registrant

By: /s/ James R. Segreto

James R. Segreto

Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary



**CERTIFICATION OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Christiaan M. Olivier, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the three-month period ended March 31, 2018 of SPAR Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 15, 2018

/s/ Christiaan M. Olivier  
Christiaan M. Olivier  
President and Chief Executive Officer

**CERTIFICATION OF THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO  
SECTION 302 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, James R. Segreto, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the three-month period ended March 31, 2018 of SPAR Group, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - (b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 15, 2018

/s/ James R. Segreto  
James R. Segreto,  
Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary

**Certification of the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to  
Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

In connection with the quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the three-month period ended March 31, 2018 of SPAR Group, Inc., the undersigned hereby certifies that, to his knowledge:

1. The report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and
2. The information contained in the report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the registrant.

/s/ Christiaan M. Olivier  
Christiaan M. Olivier  
President and Chief Executive Officer

May 15, 2018

**A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to SPAR Group, Inc. and will be retained by SPAR Group, Inc., and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.**

**Certification of the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to  
Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002**

In connection with the quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the three-month period ended March 31, 2018 of SPAR Group, Inc., the undersigned hereby certifies that, to his knowledge:

1. The report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and
2. The information contained in the report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the registrant.

/s/ James R. Segreto

James R. Segreto

Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary

May 15, 2018

**A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to SPAR Group, Inc. and will be retained by SPAR Group, Inc., and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.**